1	^ 1ST COURT LINE
2	^ 2ND COURT LINE
3	
4	^ PLAINTIFF,
5	Plaintiff,
6	vs. NO. ^ CASENUMBER
7	^ Defendant,
8	Defendants.
9	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
10	
11	
12	·
13	
14	DEPOSITION OF
15	DAVID RIZZUTO
16	
17	
18	January 22, 2009
19	9:03 a.m.
20	
21	13325 Civic Center Drive
22	Poway, California
23	
24	Diane M. Stockton, CSR No. 11085
25	

1

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
2	
3	For the Plaintiff:
4	McDOUGAL LOVE ECKIS SMITH BOEHMER & FOLEY LISA A. FOSTER, ES
5	460 North Magnolia, Drawer 1466 El Cajon, California 92022-1
6	619.440.4444 lfoster@mclex.com
7	
8	For the Defendant:
9	THE SIMPSON LAW FIRM DOUGLAS J. SIMPSON, ESQ.
10	1224 10th Street, Suite 201 Coronado, California 92118
11	619.437.6900 dsimpson@simpsonlawfirm.com
12	
13	Also present:
14	Bill Moritz
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1		INDEX OF EXAMINATION	
2	WITNESS: DAVI	D RIZZUTO	
3 .	EXAMINATION		PAGE
4	By Mr. Simpson		3
5	By Ms. Foster		40
6			
7			
8			
9			
10		INDEX OF EXHIBITS	
11	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
12	52	,	
13	55		
14	56		
15	75		
16	90		
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25.			

1	DEPOSITION OF DAVID RIZZUTO
2	January 22, 2009
3	
4	DAVID RIZZUTO,
5	having been first duly sworn, testifies as follows:
6	EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. SIMPSON:
8	Q. Sir, will you tell us your name, please, and
9	spell your last name for the record.
10	A. Certainly. My name is David Alan Rizzuto,
11	R-i-z-z-u-t-o.
12	Q. Sir, as you know, you've been sworn in and that
13	means that the penalty of perjury applies to the testimony
14	you're giving today. Do you understand that?
15	A. Yes, I do.
16	Q. The testimony you're giving has the same force
17	and effect as if you're testify before a judge and injury.
18	Do you understand?
19	A. I do.
20	Q. For that reason we want to have the best
21	testimony that you can give us today. Can you do that for
22	us?
23	A. Yes, I can.
24	Q. Any reason we can't have your best testimony?
٥E	7 No

- 1 O. Fair enough. When we're done here we'll have a
- 2 transcript prepared that contains everything that's been
- 3 said on the record, and you'll have an opportunity to
- 4 review the transcript. It will read like a play with a
- 5 guestion and answer, and you can make changes to your
- 6 answers, but we have to caution you that if you make
- 7 changes to the answers in a substantive way, "yes" to a
- 8 "no," "no" to a "yes," we could comment on that and that
- 9 could affect your credibility or the city of Poway's, as
- 10 well. Do you understand that?
- 11 A. Certainly.
- 12 Q. As you've been doing, we need to have oral
- 13 responses obviously those that we can hear other than head
- 14 nods and gestures, that kind of thing. Okay?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Likewise, "huh-uhs" and "uh-huhs" don't
- 17 transcribe well, so that we don't cause Diane trouble we
- need to make sure we say somebody intelligible. Okay?
- 19 A. Okay.
- 20 O. As we've been doing, we need to wait for one
- another to finish what the other is saying so that we
- 22 don't talk over each other. All right?
- 23 A. Correct.
- Q. If you need a break at any point, let me know,
- 25 and we'll do that.

- 1 A. That's fine.
- Q. From time to time we may have objections from
- 3 counsel, but I'm entitled to the answers if you understand
- 4 the question. Okay.
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 O. And that's unless you are instructed not to
- 7 answer. All right?
- 8 A. Of course.
- 9 Q. Sir, are you currently employed?
- 10 A. Yes, I am.
- 11 Q. Where are you currently employed?
- 12 A. The city of Poway.
- 13 Q. How long have you been there?
- 14 A. I've been with the city of Poway since June 5th
- 15 of 2001.
- 16 Q. What is your position currently?
- 17 A. Currently my position is engineering inspector.
- 18 Q. How long have you been an engineering inspector?
- 19 A. Since February of 2003.
- 20 O. Have your job duties remained the same since
- 21 February 2003?
- 22 A. Yes, they have.
- 23 Q. Currently do you supervise anybody?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. Does anybody supervise you?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. And who is that?
- 3 A. My supervisor is Danis Bechter.
- Q. And who is Danis Bechter's supervisor?
- 5 A. That would be Frank Casteleneto.
- Q. And Frank Casteleneto's supervisor is who?
- 7 A. Would be the director of our department, Bob
- 8 Manis.
- 9 Q. Sir, currently what are your job
- 10 responsibilities?
- 11 A. My job responsibilities day-to-day involve the
- supervision of the construction activity associated with
- 13 private and public improvements, everything from site
- 14 grading to infrastructure associated with civil
- 15 engineering, water, sewer, storm drain, street lights,
- 16 paving, traffic signal modifications.
- 17 O. Is part of your job the enforcement of ordinances
- of the city of Poway?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Does that include grading ordinances?
- 21 A. Yes, it includes an understanding of the grading
- 22 ordinances and enforcing them.
- Q. How many persons have the position that you hold
- 24 within the city of Poway?
- 25 A. Currently there are two others besides myself.

- 1 O. And who are the other two?
- 2 A. Chuck Littlejohn and John Tolliver.
- 3 O. How do you guys divide up responsibility, if you
- 4 'do?
- 5 A. Typically, it's based on workload, and probably
- 6 more specifically we get into areas. So I am -- you know,
- 7 to explain that, I'm signed to the what's considered the
- 8 north end of town.
- 9 Q. The area to which you're assigned, is that
- something that includes the Moritz product at 14272 Jerome
- 11 Drive?
- 12 A. I do have an existing project on Jerome Drive. I
- wouldn't say that I am assigned to Dr. Moritz' project.
- Q. Does your geographic area include that particular
- 15 piece of property?
- 16 A. Typically it would, yes. I am responsible for
- 17 areas north of Twin Peaks Road.
- 18 Q. Typically, what triggers your involvement as to
- 19 any typical site? Is it the existence of a permit or is
- 20 it wandering around and looking for code violations?
- 21 A. No. My job does involve permitted projects.
- 22 Again, whether that's a private development or a -- as we
- 23 call them, capital improvement project of a publicly-
- funded construction project. My involvement usually
- begins with the assignment of a permit to me and then

- 1 conducting preconstruction meetings prior to any grading
- 2 activity commencing.
- 3 And to answer the other part of your question, I
- 4 believe, is that my involvement in the enforcement of the
- 5 grading ordinance as it applies to potentially permits
- 6 without -- sites without permits is based on calls from
- 7 citizens or calls received by supervisors who direct me to
- 8 explore whether or not there's illegal grading activity at
- 9 a site.
- 10 Q. How about times when you have a site without a
- 11 permit as to which you've had no calls from citizens, are
- there circumstances in which you exercise enforcement
- authority of the city of Poway in that kind of a
- 14 situation?
- 15 A. I'm trying to think of an example, and I would
- 16 say no. No.
- 17 O. What was your job between the time that you were
- 18 hired and February 2003?
- 19 A. I was -- my specific title was engineering
- 20 technician.
- Q. Where did you work before June 5, 2001?
- 22 A. Before that I worked for two firms, South Coast
- 23 Civil Engineering and Slater Land Survey. They shared an
- office space, so when I say I worked for both.
- Q. What did you do before those jobs?

- 1 A. Before that I resided in Buffalo, New York, and I
- 2 was a cook.
- Q. Do you have any college degrees?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. Any college education?
- A. I attended the University of Buffalo at New York
- 7 from the fall of 1988 through the spring of 1991.
- 8 Q. Any major course of study while you were there?
- 9 A. It was performance arts.
- 10 Q. Sir, when did you first go to the property that's
- involved in this case, 14272 Jerome Drive, Poway?
- 12 A. If I -- I couldn't put a specific date on it, but
- my recollection is it was probably in January of 2008.
- Q. Why did you go out there?
- 15 A. There was a gentleman who came in, I don't know
- 16 his name. He claimed to be a resident of Jerome Drive.
- 17 He expressed concern that there were trucks running up and
- down the street that contained dirt, and he gave me a
- 19 general location of where the dirt was being brought to at
- 20 the end of Jerome Drive and wanted me to determine if --
- 21 well, if I can speak for somebody who I don't really know,
- 22 his concern was the volume of trucks going up and down a
- 23 privately maintained road and whether or not that had an
- 24 impact on the maintenance of the road, the citizens who
- 25 contribute to the maintenance of that road.

1 My involvement from that point, though, was to 2 determine whether or not this was a potential grading violation based on the concerns he had raised. 3 Who was that person? Sean Marsden? 4 Q. I can say no, it was not Sean Marsden, unless 5 he's a 70-year-old-plus gentleman, but I don't think he 6 I really don't know the name of the man who came in. 7 Q. What did you do next? 8 I went out to the site within the -- it was 9 Α. within the hour, and when I arrived to the site, it was 10 Dr. Moritz' site where the trucks were -- in this case a 11 12 truck was dropping off dirt. I observed that Dr. Moritz was using a small backhoe skiploader to spread the dirt 13 14 around on his site. 15 I spoke with Dr. Moritz at that time. I asked what his intentions were. We discussed the provisions of 16 the grading ordinance for what is considered routine 17 landscaping work, work that would not require a permit. 18 Because I only observed one truckload of dirt and no 19 subsequent trucks running, I couldn't tell you how much 20 dirt had been brought into the site at that point, whether 21 it exceeded or did not exceed the limitations of the 22 23 landscape ordinance, which is what we specifically had talked about. The area that was being graded -- I say 24

1/23/2009 2:59 PM

graded -- landscaped, was to the northwest of the horse

25

- 1 corrals. I did observe that some vegetation maybe had
- 2 been removed from the site. It looked like it had been
- 3 stripped bare, but then again, that could have been a
- 4 result of the fires, I honestly don't know, and that,
- 5 again, an undetermined amount of dirt had already been
- 6 spread out in this area.
- 7 My -- my assessment at that time was that it was
- 8 not a grading violation, but in speaking with Dr. Moritz I
- 9 cautioned him against bringing in too much dirt, of
- 10 creating vertical fills, you know, exceeding the height
- 11 limitations within the landscape ordinance that is part of
- the grading ordinance, and I left the site.
- Q. What is your understanding of the limitations of
- 14 the grading ordinances?
- 15 A. Specifically in the grading ordinance, I couldn't
- 16 give you the actual section. It's J is the alpha
- 17 assignment to it, Section J of whatever part of the
- 18 grading ordinance, but specifically that says you shall
- 19 not import more than 250 cubic yards -- when I say
- 20 "import," let me correct myself -- import or utilize
- 21 onsite materials exceeding 250 yards. You shall not
- 22 create vertical fills greater than five feet in height at
- 23 a 3:1 slope, and you shall not create any vertical cuts or
- fills greater than a foot in depth. And then probably
- 25 most significantly is that the areas being landscaped, the

- 1 intent is that there will never be a structure, permitted
- 2 structure, built on them or something that would require a
- 3 building permit, habitable space.
- 4 Q. Because of compaction issues?
- 5 A. Certainly.
- Q. Any other distinctions between what's permissible
- 7 landscaping and what's grading?
- A. Possibly the clearing of vegetation could come
- 9 into that, but, again, I didn't have the benefit of
- 10 knowing what that site looked like before the day I went
- out there. So, again, my observation was that there was
- 12 fresh disturbance of soil, but what had been there before,
- 13 I couldn't tell you. So when I say that stripping or
- 14 clearing of vegetation can become an issue, that gets into
- 15 whether or not there's habitat out there that shouldn't be
- 16 removed or trees that are protected by our ordinances.
- 0. Did Bill Moritz tell you that he had already been
- 18 to the city of Poway asking whether a permit was required
- 19 for the work that he envisioned?
- 20 A. I believe --
- 21 MS. FOSTER: Objection. I'm sorry. That calls
- 22 for hearsay.
- You can answer.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Okay. That I believe was
- 25 discussed, that he said he had been in contact with the

- 1 city at that point.
- 2 BY MR. SIMPSON:
- 3 Q. He told you that he'd actually been down to the
- 4 city?
- 5 A. I couldn't tell you his exact phrasing of it, but
- 6 that he expressed an understanding of the limitations of
- 7 the ordinance at it applied to the work he was doing.
- Q. Did you issue any stop work notice or citation?
- 9 A. I did not.
- 10 Q. Why is that?
- 11 A. Again, because my opinion of the work that was
- 12 ongoing at that time was that it did not exceed the
- 13 criteria of the provisions for landscaping.
- 14 Q. You indicated in earlier testimony that the area
- at which you observed the grading was to the northwest of
- 16 the corral. Do you recall that?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. I'm going to show you what's been previously
- 19 marked as Exhibit 75.
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. Can you describe in reference to any of the
- 22 structures that you see on Exhibit 75 where it was that
- you observed the activities that you've described?
- 24 A. Would you like me to mark it or just point or --
- 25 Q. Well, you can just point or describe in words

- 1 where it was.
- 2 A. I would say he was working in this area right
- 3 here.
- 4 Q. Okay. So --
- 5 A. Again, west of the corral and a little bit to the
- 6 north of it.
- 7 Q. So between the Moritz horse corral and the Moritz
- 8 swimming pool?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. A little bit to the north of the swimming pool?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. And a little bit to the south of the swimming
- 13 pool?
- 14 A. Yes. And this -- this area in question here was
- possibly cleared, but he was not working over there.
- 16 Q. And you're referring to the area to the north of
- 17 the plastic creek?
- 18 A. I am, and the reason I am specifying this area is
- 19 because of the location of this tree. I do remember this
- 20 tree kind of standing out as being alone and kind of
- 21 isolated from any other trees around it and that this area
- 22 was cleared of any vegetation.
- 23 Q. Do you know which is the Moritz property?
- A. I honestly don't. I don't know the limits of his

25 property.

- 1 Q. The tree that you just described is on the square
- 2 patch that's to the north of the plastic creek; is that
- 3 right?
- 4 A. That is correct.
- Q. Did you observe the landscaping contour grading
- 6 activity that you've described going on on that property
- 7 at that time?
- 8 A. I did not.
- 9 Q. How about stockpiling of soils?
- 10 A. No. Again, the only stockpiled material was
- maybe the eight to 10 cubic yards that had been dropped
- off by the truck that I witnessed onsite at that time.
- I would say that when I met with Dr. Moritz, at
- that point he was on his piece of equipment somewhere to
- the east of -- if this is a pool -- I'm guessing that's a
- 16 pool -- to the east of the pool.
- Q. Where was the stockpile of dumped soil that you
- 18 saw?
- 19 A. By the entrance. There's an opening in the fence
- down here, and it would have been dropped off somewhere
- 21 between where he was on the piece of equipment and over in
- 22 here.
- 23 Q. So the fence opening is on the -- whatever -- the
- 24 eastern end of Jerome on the northern side?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 MS. FOSTER: Maybe if you turn it that way, it
- 2 makes more sense.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Yeah.
- 4 BY MR. SIMPSON:
- 5 O. Where -- how did you get to the site?
- 6 A. City vehicle.
- 7 O. Down Jerome or down Crocker?
- 8 A. Down Jerome.
- 9 Q. Did you alert the Moritzes that you were coming
- 10 out to the site?
- 11 A. Prior to arriving, no.
- 12 . Q. Did you get permission from the gentleman that
- 13 complained to come onto Jerome Drive?
- 14 A. No, I did not.
- 15 Q. Did you get permission from anybody that owns the
- 16 properties alongside of Jerome Drive to go down Jerome
- 17 Drive?
- 18 A. No, I did not.
- 19 Q. Are you aware of whether that's a private drive?
- 20 A. I believe it's a private road, yes.
- 21 Q. Have you ever had any training from the city of
- 22 Poway about the circumstances that require the city to
- obtain an inspection warrant before entering onto
- 24 somebody's property?
- 25 A. No, I have not.

- 1 Q. Do you know whether that training has ever been
- 2 afforded to anybody within the city of Poway?
- 3 A. I do not.
- Q. It's not been afforded to anybody since you've
- 5 been here, to your knowledge?
- 6 A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- 7 Q. Are the people that you've described earlier, the
- 8 other two enforcement officers, they people that have been
- 9 here since the time you've been here, roughly 2001?
- 10 A. You mean prior to that or -- well, I could give
- 11 you -- Chuck Littlejohn probably joined staff sometime in
- 12 2004, and John Tolliver has been with us for a month now.
- 13 Q. Was there -- is that a new position or was there
- 14 somebody that preceded Mr. Tolliver?
- 15 A. There was a contract inspector that the city
- 16 hired.
- 17 Q. And who was that?
- 18 A. Tom Ramirez.
- 19 Q. How close were you to the stockpile of soils that
- you saw that day on the Moritz property?
- 21 A. It's quite possible I was right next to it in my
- 22 city vehicle. I believe I actually drove my vehicle
- 23 through the opening on the -- the fence onto the property
- 24 to speak with Mr. Moritz, Dr. Moritz.
- 25 Q. Did you notice any smell or any -- have any

- 1 concern about the -- whether the soil was clean fill?
- 2 A. No, not that I recall.
- 3 O. Looked like dirt?
- 4 A. Looked like dirt.
- 5 O. Did you see other pieces of earth-moving
- 6 equipment anywhere in sight other than the one piece that
- 7 you described?
- 8 A. I don't recall, no.
- 9 Q. Did you see anybody else moving soils anywhere on
- 10 the site other than Bill Moritz?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Did you tell him that it was okay to proceed as
- long as he stayed within the confines of the grading
- 14 ordinance as you described it?
- 15 A. As it applies to landscaping, yes.
- 16 Q. And when you say "as it applies to landscaping,"
- 17 what do you mean by that?
- 18 A. To not exceed the provisions that I described
- 19 earlier, you know, not to import more than 250 cubic
- 20 yards, to create vertical fills and cuts greater than five
- feet in height, 3:1. Information that he relayed to me in
- saying that he'd discussed this with city staff prior to
- 23 this work beginning, that he understood. I believe I may
- 24 have advised him that he might want to obtain a haul route
- 25 permit just in the interest of neighbors expressing

- 1 concern over the activity, that it would benefit him to
- 2 have an import permit.
- 3 O. At some point after you left the site, did you
- 4 have a conversation with Don Sharp about this site?
- 5 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Did you have a conversation with anybody within
- 7 the city of Poway about this site?
- 8 MS. FOSTER: I'm going to object that it calls
- 9 for hearsay.
- 10 You can answer.
- 11 BY MR. SIMPSON:
- 12 Q. Other than counsel.
- MS. FOSTER: Sorry.
- 14 THE WITNESS: I would say that if were not Don it
- would have been Danis Bechter that I notified that I'd
- 16 been -- you know, that a citizen had come in, described
- 17 what was going on, that I went to the site and my
- determination was that the activity was not exceeding any
- 19 limitations that would require the individual to get a
- 20 permit.
- 21 BY MR. SIMPSON:
- Q. And what did Danis Bechter say?
- 23 A. Again, whoever I may have discussed this with at
- 24 the time, whether it was Don or Danis, I don't know. I
- was probably just asked to just keep an eye on the

- 1 activity.
- Q. Are you aware there's been an issue raised about
- 3 an ephemeral stream on the Moritz' property?
- A. I am aware of that issue, yes.
- Q. Is that something that you observed on the day
- 6 that you were out there?
- 7 A. No. The time that I was out there that we're
- 8 discussing, there was no activity in the stream area as
- 9 it's been described to me, you know. Again, if I can use
- 10 the --
- 11 Q. Exhibit 75?
- 12 A. -- Exhibit 75, that, to me, my understanding, is
- 13 an area down in here.
- Q. And you're referring to the southeasterly corner
- 15 of the Moritz property?
- 16 A. That is correct. That is correct. And at that
- time there was no work taking place over there.
- Q. What did the property look like over there?
- 19 A. Wet, exposed mud and dirt. That's probably a
- 20 result of being in the middle of the rainy season and, I
- 21 was guessing from soil colors, existing native materials,
- you know, materials native to the site.
- O. Did you observe scours in that location?
- 24 A. I don't recall.
- Q. How about vegetation in that location?

- 1 A. Minimal.
- Q. How about siltation or sediment from other
- 3 up-gradient sources?
- A. I would say no. And I say that because when I
- 5 arrived and assessed the site, there was nothing striking
- 6 about this area at that time. You know, my attention
- 7 wasn't called to it, oh my God, look at all the dirt
- 8 that's been placed over here or look at all the silt
- 9 accumulating and runoff.
- 10 Q. How about to the north of where you previously
- observed the stockpile, did you look up to the north to
- see whether there was any ephemeral stream or water
- 13 running onto the property from the north?
- 14 A. I did not.
- 15 Q. You didn't look or you didn't notice any water?
- 16 A. Neither.
- 17 Q. How many times have you been out to the Moritz
- 18 property?
- 19 A. Two other occasions.
- Q. When were the other times?
- 21 A. The other time would have been with Don Sharp in
- the beginning of February when there was a stop work
- 23 notice issued. I believe on that occasion a call came to
- 24 Don about the site or -- I'm not sure. I can't speculate
- 25 how he got the information that work had resumed out here,

- 1 that there was activity that someone was concerned about.
- 2 And on that occasion I just happened to drive Don to the
- 3 site in my city vehicle.
- Q. Do you know where the call came from?
- 5 A. I don't.
- O. Do you guys keep records of that, like dispatch
- 7 reports or other kinds of records?
- 8 A. Probably not, no.
- 9 Q. Do you know whether that person is a property
- owner of property on either side of Jerome Drive or on
- 11 Crocker Drive?
- 12 A. I do not.
- 13 Q. Did you guys have an inspection warrant to come
- 14 out that second time?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Did you have the permission of Bill or Lori
- Moritz to come out to their property that time?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. What did you guys do when you got to the site?
- 20 A. On this particular occasion, I recall that we did
- 21 not actually enter the property. We parked at, you know,
- in the cul-de-sac at the end of Jerome.
- O. North side or south side?
- 24 A. Closer to the north side.
- 25 O. Do you know whether that is Bill Moritz'

- 1 property?
- 2 A. I do not.
- 3 Q. Then what did you do?
- A. On this occasion, again, I happened to be just
- 5 driving Don. Don spoke with Dr. Moritz. My recollection
- of the conversation they had -- which I don't even recall
- if it was outside of the truck or, you know, through the
- 8 window of the truck -- I remember for myself that I don't
- 9 think I even exited the truck -- was that there were
- 10 concerns that the -- again, I think there may have been
- 11 calls that dirt was being moved into the site again and
- 12 that Don expressed concern to Dr. Moritz that at this
- 13 point he may have exceeded the limitations of the amount
- of dirt he could bring into the site for landscaping
- 15 purposes and -- and he was advised to contact engineering
- staff at the city to further discuss what his intentions
- were with the work he was doing, and he was issued a stop
- 18 work notice.
- 19 O. Did Don tell you that that was the first time
- 20 that he had come to the property?
- 21 A. He did not tell me that.
- 22 O. Do you know one way or the other whether that was
- 23 his first time at the site?
- 24 A. I do not know if that was his first time. I'm
- 25 speculating that it was.

- 1 Q. I'm going to show you what's been previously
- 2 marked as Exhibit 55. Do you see that in front of you?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 O. Is that the stop work notice that was issued on
- 5 the date that you've just described where you went out
- 6 with Don Sharp to the site?
- 7 A. Based on the date here, I'm guessing yes, this is
- 8 the one we're talking about.
- 9 Q. That's your best estimate?
- 10 A. Yes, based on the time frame.
- 11 Q. Whose handwriting is on that document?
- 12 A. That is Don Sharp's.
- 13 Q. I'm going to show you a bad photocopy, which is
- Exhibit 56, which is the next day. Is there any way for
- you to determine whether you were there on one day or the
- other by any of the notes that are on there?
- 17 A. Yes, actually, I believe I could, and I say this
- 18 because my recollection is that Don requested that
- 19 Dr. Moritz speak with engineering staff, and on this one
- 20 Sam Tadros is, you know, described as contacting Sam
- 21 Tadros with questions and concerns as opposed to this one
- 22 which mentions Jim Lyon. And, like I say, my recollection
- 23 is that this might be a grading violation, contact
- 24 engineering staff, not planning staff, which is who Jim
- 25 Lyon works with.

- 1 Q. So if I can put that in context with reference to
- 2 the documents, you believe that you were there on the 7th
- 3 of February with Don Sharp based on the context of what's
- 4 written on Exhibit 55 mentioning the need to contact Sam
- 5 Tadros?
- 6 A. With some certainty, yes.
- 7 Q. Whereas you don't believe it was -- based on the
- 8 context of Exhibit 56, you weren't there the following day
- 9 on the 8th of February when it was mentioned that Mr.
- 10 Moritz ought to contact Jim Lyon; right?
- 11 A. No. Yeah, I was not here on this occasion.
- 12 Q. Okay. Do you recall -- strike that.
- Did the -- did Don Sharp actually hand Bill
- 14 Moritz a stop work notice when you were out there, or are
- you just guessing that were you out there based on the
- 16 context of Exhibit 55?
- 17 A. No. I recall -- again, I recall one specific
- 18 occasion of driving out there with Don that very closely
- 19 preceded my initial visit and conversation with
- 20 Dr. Moritz. To answer what I think your question, I do
- 21 not recall if this was actually given to Dr. Moritz in my
- 22 presence, though.
- Q. So you might have been there at a different time?
- A. No. I believe I was there when he was told to
- 25 stop, but I couldn't tell you specifically if this was

- 1 handed toy Dr. Moritz on that occasion.
- 2 Q. Do you have a practice of -- as an inspection
- 3 officer of writing down what other city of Poway people
- 4 are present during such inspections?
- 5 A. On a document like this -- well, no -- yes, I do
- 6 personally. I do personally, yes.
- 7 Q. You mentioned the word "preceded." Were you out
- 8 at the site by yourself before the issuance of stop work
- 9 notice Exhibit 55?
- 10 A. Only the time as described, sometime at the end
- 11 of January.
- 12 Q. When you were out there the second time you were
- out there, did you observe any trucks?
- 14 A. On that occasion, no, I do not recall any trucks.
- 15 O. How about --
- 16 A. I do -- from reading this, I do remember there
- 17 was evidence of trucks running, you know, with dirt on the
- 18 road.
- 19 Q. That was one of the next questions.
- 20 A. Okay.
- 21 Q. Did you have evidence of trucks on the property
- 22 such as tire ruts or stockpiles of soil?
- 23 A. I don't recall.
- Q. How about as to the property to the north of the
- 25 Moritz' property as shown on Exhibit 75 where the plastic

- 1 creek ends, do you see any evidence of any trucks having
- 2 dumped loads of soil on that piece of property?
- 3 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Did you have any estimate of the number of trucks
- 5 that had dumped loads of soil on the Moritz property?
- A. With this visit or with my previous visit?
- 7 Q. Well, let's take them both then. On your first
- 8 visit, did you have any evidence that there was any more
- 9 than the one truck that had dumped soil?
- 10 A. There was -- yes. There was freshly tracked soil
- 11 that was a different color than what would typically be
- 12 your native materials out there. As to an amount, no, I
- 13 couldn't tell you, because all there was was one standing
- 14 pile from the truck that had arrived just as I got there.
- 15 O. Based on your experience, were you able to tell
- 16 or to make any estimate as to the number of loads that it
- 17 would have taken or that it actually did take to spread
- 18 the soils that you described?
- 19 A. My recollection from that visit is I felt
- 20 comfortable that Dr. Moritz had not exceeded the 250 cubic
- 21 yards at that point.
- Q. As far as the second time is concerned, do you
- 23 have an estimate as to how many loads of soil had been
- 24 deposited on the property as of that time?
- 25 A. I really don't recall, no.

- 1 Q. How about any estimate as to the cubic yardage of
- 2 soil that had been deposited on the soil as of that time?
- 3 A. Personally, no, I couldn't make that assessment.
- 4 O. Did you have any report from anybody about the
- 5 number of loads of soil that had gone down Jerome Drive as
- 6 of that point?
- 7 A. Returning to the initial complaint that was
- 8 received, again, by this gentleman whose name I don't
- 9 know, only to be described as an elderly gentleman, he
- 10 described it as trucks continually running up and down
- 11 Jerome Drive with dirt. That is -- what that means, I
- 12 don't know, I couldn't speculate.
- 13 Q. The second time that you were out at the site,
- 14 did you make any determination as to whether the vertical
- 15 elevation had changed by more than the amount of the
- 16 grading ordinance that permits some activity to occur on a
- 17 site without a permit?
- 18 A. My observation was -- again, this is from
- 19 recollection. My observation was that more dirt than what
- 20 was observed on my initial visit had been placed as you
- 21 come into the opening on the fence. It was spread out
- 22 probably the width of the piece of equipment that was
- 23 being used, which was the same as the first time, a small
- 24 backhoe or skiploader, and that, yes, it was probably
- 25 greater than a foot in height or change from the original

- 1 elevations out there.
- 2 O. Less than two feet?
- 3 A. Possibly.
- Q. Do you know one way or another?
- 5 A. No.
- 6 Q. How about in the ephemeral stream area, did you
- 7 look in that area the second visit?
- 8 A. I did not.
- 9 Q. Did you make any determination whether any soil
- 10 had been moved into the stream area?
- 11 A. I did not.
- 12 O. What is a stream area?
- A. Well, there's different definitions of "stream
- 14 area." Obviously, you have streams that run yearlong with
- 15 a constant flow of water. You have ephemeral which are
- 16 based -- which are wet when it rains, and you have water
- 17 that accumulates in them and runs to the natural path of
- 18 drainage.
- 19 With respect to Dr. Moritz' property, I don't
- 20 have any knowledge or opinion to offer whether or not this
- is a property that contains an ephemeral stream or not.
- Q. Who within the city of Poway makes those
- 23 determinations?
- A. I would say that is done probably at a level of a
- 25 senior planner or city planner.

- 1 Q. Such as Jim Lyon?
- 2 A. Correct.
- 3 O. How about Danis Bechter?
- A. Might have an opinion on that, yes, based on
- 5 visual observation. But I think, to clarify, there is --
- 6 there are maps that show locations of stream areas,
- 7 watersheds, that can't always be concluded from by a
- 8 visual observation. You know, something that's dry
- 9 11 months and 15 days out of the year looks very different
- 10 when it's raining.
- 11 Q. When a person wants to get a grading ordinance --
- 12 strike that.
- When a person wants to get a grading permit
- 14 within the city of Poway, how do they go about that
- 15 typically?
- 16 A. The initial phase of that would be called a minor
- development review in which an applicant would --
- actually, let me strike that because minor development
- 19 review implies that you're going to have a structure
- 20 built.
- 21 For somebody who wanted to grade an area, whether
- there's a structure there or not, so let's just remove the
- 23 structure, so I don't get into building construction at
- 24 all. So if a person just wanted to grade an area that
- 25 they knew was going to be in excess of the 250 cubic yards

- and they're going to create vertical fills 20 feet in
- 2 height and it's a pad that might be developed in the
- 3 future or not, any situation like that, they want an extra
- 4 driveway turnaround on their property, things like that,
- 5 they would come in, contact engineering staff with
- 6 specific questions, I guess, determine if it's feasible,
- 7 and if they haven't already hired a civil engineer to
- 8 prepare the plans for them, that would probably be the
- 9 next step, is getting a civil engineer to prepare grading
- 10 plans along with any hydrology studies that will indicate
- 11 how the site will be contained from runoff or not
- impacting adjacent properties. That permit would be
- 13 submitted for review and approval, and depending on the
- 14 quality of the engineer citizens retained, it can
- sometimes be a one- or two-plan check turnaround and
- others not so great, and so.
- Q. Does the city of Poway ever have circumstances in
- which people come into the city asking whether they need
- to have a permit where the city says no, you don't?
- 20 A. I'm sure they do.
- 21 Q. Are there any -- is there a practice within the
- 22 city of Poway to give such persons some type of note or
- 23 writing that says indeed you do not need a permit for that
- 24 kind of activity?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. So it's just oral?
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Do you know whether -- do you know who worked the
- 4 desk where such kinds of things occurred as of February
- 5 2008 time frame and January 2008 time frame for people
- 6 looking for grading permits?
- 7 A. Working the counter downstairs, you probably
- 8 would have had Sam Tadros, of course, who at the time was
- 9 the interim senior engineer. You would have had Sam
- 10 Arabzadeh.
- 11 Can't help you on the spelling with that. I'm
- 12 sorry.
- 13 Q. Is he a Persian-looking male?
- 14 A. Yes, I believe he is Persian.
- 15 Q. Thin --
- 16 A. And possibly Mark Westover was still employed by
- 17 the city at this time. I can't recall.
- 18 Q. The Persian man whose name I can't pronounce
- 19 again --
- 20 A. Arabzadeh.
- Q. Arabzadeh, is he a thin man?
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Was there a time after the Witch Creek fires that
- 24 the city of Poway relaxed or suspended its grading and
- 25 erosion control ordinances?

- 1 A. I -- I can't answer to whether or not they
- 2 relaxed their grading ordinance and requirements. I would
- 3 say from my end, what I experience on a day-to-day basis
- 4 since the Witch Creek fires, that storm water issues, if
- 5 anything, have been enforced with even more concern for
- 6 runoff and siltation and discharge to the storm drain
- 7 system or adjacent properties.
- 8 Q. Since what date?
- 9 A. Since the Witch Creek fire.
- 10 Q. So there's been more rigorous enforcement rather
- 11 than less; right?
- 12 A. I would say the effort has been more
- 13 concentrated, yes.
- Q. Was there ever a time when there was actually
- 15 less rigorous effort to enforce those ordinances because
- 16 of the Witch Creek fires?
- 17 A. Not to my experience, no.
- 18 Q. Did you ever hear about any governmental or
- 19 qubernatorial order to relax such storm water orders?
- 20 A. No. I'm just hoping I don't get an IOU from my
- 21 tax return this year.
- 22 O. You and me both.
- 23 Did you observe any burned-out vegetation when
- 24 you were out at the Moritz property?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Did you look around to observe any fire damage?
- 2 A. Honestly, no.
- Q. Did you talk with Bill Moritz about his belief
- 4 that he needed a fire road or fire access because of the
- 5 Witch Creek fires?
- A. That very well may have been part of our
- 7 conversation on my initial visit, yes.
- 8 Q. What did he say about that?
- 9 MS. FOSTER: Calls for hearsay.
- 10 You can answer.
- 11 THE WITNESS: I think -- he had mentioned that he
- 12 and a neighbor had stayed behind during the Witch Creek
- 13 Fire to protect their properties, that as a result of that
- 14 he felt it was necessary to provide a buffer between his
- 15 property for any potential future fire, to eliminate some
- of the vegetation that was there that was, you know,
- 17 flammable.
- 18 BY MR. SIMPSON:
- 19 O. The second time you were out at the property, did
- you ever see Don Sharp touch or push Bill Moritz?
- 21 A. No, I did not.
- Q. How would you describe the demeanor of Bill
- 23 Moritz on that second time you were out there?
- A. Of Dr. Moritz? He was friendly, cordial.
- 25 O. How about Don Sharp?

- 1 A. Professional.
- Q. What was next time that you were out at the site?
- 3 A. The only other occasion I've had to be out
- 4 there -- and this -- this may have been described in your
- 5 documentation of a city vehicle that kind of came through
- 6 the property and left. I know that I had occasion to do
- 7 that once, but based on the date that was provided, I
- 8 couldn't tell you. But I do know that on one other
- 9 occasion, this one I'm describing now, I was asked to just
- 10 go see, probably by Don or Danis, if there was activity at
- 11 the site, if, you know, Dr. Moritz was coming applying
- 12 with the stop work order, and I took a spin through the
- 13 ends of Jerome Drive. I don't believe I entered the
- 14 property on that particular occasion, and didn't see
- anything to warrant stopping so I didn't.
- 16 Q. Do you know the date?
- 17 A. I really don't. It's going to be after the 7th
- 18 of February.
- 19 O. And on that third time that you were out at the
- 20 site, did you go down Jerome Drive and then return on
- 21 Jerome Drive?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. And you circled at the end?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 O. Did you receive permission to go onto Jerome

- 1 Drive from any of the adjoining Jerome Drive property
- 2 owners?
- 3 A. No, I did not.
- 4 O. How about from Bill Moritz, did you receive
- 5 permission from him to go onto his property?
- 6 A. No, I did not.
- 7 Q. Did you go through the fence areas at all that
- 8 day?
- 9 A. On this occasion, I believe I did not drive onto
- 10 the property.
- 11 Q. Did you have any inspection warrant on that day?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. I'm going to show you what I will mark as the
- 14 next exhibit in order, but I'm not certain of the number.
- Do you have an idea of what the next number is?
- MS. FOSTER: I might. I believe 86 was the last
- one. Oh, I'm sorry, no, because I see an 89 here.
- 18 MR. SIMPSON: You know what --
- 19 MS. FOSTER: It looks like 89 is the last one I
- 20 have from the Means deposition.
- MR. SIMPSON: Yeah, 89 was the last one.
- MS. FOSTER: So this would be 90.
- 23 (Exhibit 90 marked)
- 24 BY MR. SIMPSON:
- Q. I'm going to show you what I will mark as

- 1 Exhibit 90.
- 2 A. Okay. Thank you.
- 3 Q. Which is an e-mail dated June 4, 2008, from Bob
- 4 Manis to Tommy Borobia, B-o-r-o-b-i-a, Frank Castaleneto
- 5 and Patty Brindle. Do you see that?
- 6 A. I do.
- 7 Q. Did you ever get a copy of this particular
- 8 e-mail?
- 9 A. I've never seen this e-mail before today.
- 10 Q. Were you ever instructed to casually go by the
- 11 Moritz property?
- 12 A. No.
- Q. Do you know what is meant by the phrase "casually
- qo by the Moritz property"?
- 15 A. I don't.
- 16 Q. Other than those three visits to the Moritz
- 17 property, have you been out there any other times?
- 18 A. I have not.
- 19 Q. What other conversations have you had with Bill
- 20 Moritz other than what you've described?
- 21 A. I don't believe we've had any other
- 22 conversations.
- 23 Q. Have you had any further involvement in
- 24 enforcement activities as to the Moritz property other
- 25 than what you've described already?

- 1 A. I have not.
- Q. Do you know what was the difference in elevation
- 3 between the surface of the eastern end of Jerome Drive and
- 4 · the area of the ephemeral stream located on the
- 5 southwestern -- southeastern, rather, corner of the Moritz
- 6 property when you went out there?
- 7 A. I do not.
- 8 Q. Do you know what it is today?
- 9 A. I don't.
- 10 Q. Does the city of Poway have a practice of sharing
- its stop work orders with the Regional Water Quality
- 12 Control Board?
- 13 A. If it is a stop work notice for a violation that
- is determined to be -- yes, NPD, yes, National Pollutant
- Discharge elimination system related, then, yes, they
- 16 would be sent to the Regional Water Quality Control Board.
- Q. Sir, I'm going to show you what's been marked as
- 18 Exhibit 52. Have you ever seen this drawing before?
- 19 A. No, I've not.
- 20 Q. You hadn't any involvement in the plans for
- 21 reconstruction of the stream?
- 22 A. I have not.
- Q. Let me take a little break here.
- MS. FOSTER: Okay.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Okay.

1	MR. SIMPSON: Let's go off the record if we
2	could.
3	(Recess)
4	EXAMINATION
5	BY MS. FOSTER:
6	Q. Dave, on either of the occasions where you were
7	at the property, did you notice any vertical cuts on the
8	property at that time?
9	A. No.
10	MS. FOSTER: Thanks. That's my only question.
11	MR. SIMPSON: I appreciate your time.
12	I propose that we have Mr. Rizzuto sign the
13	transcript under the penalty of perjury, waiving the
14	requirement of any notary; that he do so within 30 days of
15	presentation of the transcript to him; the transcript can
16	be mailed directly to him at the city hall here at this
17	address with an envelope self-addressed to me; I'll
18	maintain custody of the original; if for any reason the
19	original shall remain unsigned beyond the 30-day period, a
20	certified copy can be used for all purposes that the
21	original could be used. Okay?
22	MS. FOSTER: So stipulated.
23	(The deposition concluded at 10:02 a.m.)
24	* * *
25	

1	DECLARATION UN	DER PENALTY OF PERJURY		
2				
3	I, DAVID RIZZUTO,	do hereby certify und	er penal	Lty
4	of perjury that I have rea	d the foregoing transc	ript of	my
5	deposition taken on Januar	cy 22, 2009; that I hav	e made	
6	such corrections as appear	noted herein in ink,	initiale	ed
7	by me; that my testimony a	as contained herein, as	;	
8	corrected, is true and cor	rrect.		
9				
10	Dated this	day of	_ 2009,	at
11		, California.		
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17	DAVID	RIZZUTO		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
2	
3	I, Diane M. Stockton, a Certified Shorthand
4	Reporter in and for the State of California, do hereby
5	certify:
6	
7	That the foregoing witness was by me duly sworn;
8	that the deposition was then taken before me at the time
9	and place herein set forth; that the testimony and
10	proceedings were reported stenographically by me and later
11	transcribed into typewriting under my direction; that the
12	foregoing is a true record of the testimony and
13	proceedings taken at that time.
14	
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name
16	this, 2009.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Diane M. Stockton, CSR No. 11085
23	
24	
25	

milistophel Means		oundary 10, 200.
1		3
SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO - CENTRAL DIVISION	1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
CITY OF POWAY, a municipal	2	MITNESS. Christopher Manua
corporation, Plaintiff, Case No. 37-2008-00088427-	3	WITNESS: Christopher Means
vs. CU-MC-CTL	5	EXAMINATION PAGE
BILL MORITZ and LORI C. MORITZ, individuals, and	6	By Mr. Simpson 7
DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, Defendants.	7	by Wit. Oillipsoil
***************************************	1 ′	
DEPOSITION OF	8	
CHRISTOPHER MEANS	9	
	10	
January 16, 2009 10:00 a.m.	11	
	12	
9174 Sky Park Court, Suite 100 San Diego, California	13	
	14	
	15	
Reported by Tamara L. Espino, CSR No. 9494	16	
	17	
·	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24 25	
2		4
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL	1	INDEX TO EXHIBITS
2 . 3 For Plaintiff:		EVIJETO MADICED
4 MCDOUGAL, LOVE, ECKIS, SMITH,	2	EXHIBITS MARKED 52 Artist's rendering of the Moritz 18
BOEHMER & FOLEY	3	residence
5 LISA A. FOSTER, ESQ. 460 North Magnolia, Drawer 1466	4	
6 El Cajon, California 92022-1466	5	53 Photo taken by Kelly Fisher 34
(619) 440-4444	6	54 18 photos labeled Poway Fire 2007 37
7 Ifoster@mclex.com	8	or To provo assolut Fordy Find Edul
For Dr. and Mrs. Moritz: 9 THE SIMPSON LAW FIRM	9 10	55 Stop Work Notice, 2/7/08 38
0 DOUGLAS J. SIMPSON, ESQ. 1 1224 10th Street, Suite 201	11 12	56 Stop Work Notice, 2/8/08 40
1.2 Coronado, California 92118 (619) 437-6900	13 14	57 Conversation Confirmer, March 21, '08 47
dsimpson@simpsonlawfirm.com	15	58 Facility Inspection Report 6/9/08 48 (incomplete copy)
For State Water Resources Control Board:	16	(incomplete copy)
CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	17	59 Facility Inspection Report 6/9/08 49
L6 JORGE A. LEON, ESQ. 1001 Street, P.O. Box 100	18	, , ,
Sacramento, California 95812	19 20	60 Tentative Cleanup and Abatement Order 51 No. R9-2008-0152
(916) 341-5180	1	C4 Discount of manual wells based william 50
18 jleon@waterboards.ca.gov	21	
l 8 jleon@waterboards.ca.gov l 9	21	61 Diagram of property with handwritten 58 notations
1.8 jleon@waterboards.ca.gov 1.9 2.0	21	notations Diagram or property with handwritten 58
1.8 jleon@waterboards.ca.gov 1.9 2.0 2.1 2.2		notations 62 Department of Fish and Game 79
	22	notations

			,	
	•	5		7
1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS - cont.	1 2	INDEX TO EXHIBITS - cont.
2	EXH	BIT MARKED	3	EXHIBIT MARKED
4 5	63	Excerpts from document entitled 83 Water Quality Objectives	5	79 Enlarged photograph 116
6 7	64	6/30/08 e-mail to Mr. Means from 88	7 8	80 (number not used)
9		Mr. Sandrock attaching June 30, 2008 e-mail to Dr. Moritz from Mr. Means	9 10	81 Enlarged photograph 117
10 11	65	Typewritten letter to Mike, unsigned 90	11 12	82 Enlarged photograph 117
12 13 14	66	7/2/08 e-mail to Dr. Moritz from 91	13 14	83 Enlarged photograph . 119
14 15 16		Mr. Means, in response to 7/2/08 e-mail to Mr. Means from Dr. Moritz	15 16	84 Enlarged photograph 120
17 18	67	Executive Order S-13-07 94	17 18	85 Enlarged photograph 121
19 20	68	Executive Officer Summary Report, 95 Item 8a, November 14, 2007	19 20	86 Enlarged photograph 122
21 22	69	Tentative Resolution No. R9-2007-0211 95	21 22	87 Enlarged photograph 123
23 24	70	Executive Officer Summary Report, 96	23 24	88 Enlarged photograph 123
25	•••••	Item 10, November 14, 2007	25	89 Enlarged photograph 124
ŀ		6		8
1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS - cont.	1	SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA;
3	EXH	IBIT MARKED	3	FRIDAY, JANUARY 16, 2009; 10:00 A.M.
4 5	71	October 25, 2007 letter re: 96	5	CHRISTOPHER MEANS, having been first duly sworn, testifies as follows:
6	• •	Authorization to use Conditional	6	naving seen mot day sworn, testines as follows.
7		Waiver for Discharges of	7	EXAMINATION
8		Emergency/Disaster Related Wastes	8	BY MR. SIMPSON:
9 10	72	16 Jun 08 letter to Mr. Means from 102	9	Q Sir, will you tell us your name, please, and
11	, _	Dr. Moritz	10 11	spell your last name for the record. A My name is Christopher Means, and my last
12			12	name is spelled M-a M-e-a-n-s.
13	73	Excerpt from state document re: 102	13	MR. SIMPSON: Off the record a second.
14		Selection of Appropriate Enforcement	14	(Off the record)
15		Action	15	BY MR. SIMPSON:
16 17	74	Enlarged photograph 108	16	Q Sir, as you're aware, you have just been
18	, 7	Emargod priotograph	17	sworn in. And that means the penalty of perjury applies
19	75	Enlarged photograph 112	18 19	to testimony you're giving us today. Do you understand that?
20 21	76	Enlarged photograph 113	20 21	A Yes. Q This testimony has the same force and effect
22 23	77	Enlarged photograph 115	22	as if you were testifying before a judge and jury. Do you understand?
24 25	78	Enlarged photograph 115	24 25	A Yes. Q We will have a transcript prepared that

- contains everything that's been said here today on the 1
- 2 record today, and you'll have the opportunity to review
- 3 that and then to make changes to your answers. However,
- 4 if you make changes of a substantive answer, a no to a
- 5 yes, a yes to a no, for example, we could comment on
- 6 that and that could affect your credibility. Do you 7
 - understand?
 - Α Yes.

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

- 9 Q Any reason we can't have your best testimony 10 today?
 - Α
 - O From time to time I might ask you a question that you feel that you don't know the complete answer, but I'm entitled to such information as you have available that addresses the question. Okay?
 - Α Yes.
 - This comes up sometimes in a situation where I ask for a specific day or date, and you don't know the specific day or date but you might know the week, the month or the season. I'm entitled to get the
- 21 information that you have available. Okay?
- 22 Okay.
 - O This also sometimes comes up in the situation where I ask for sizes of things or areas. And I'm entitled to such information as you have, but I don't

11

- Where are you employed?
- I'm employed with the California Regional Α
- Water Quality Control Board, San Diego region.
- Q And that frequently goes by the acronym RWQCB?
- Α Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

5

6

7

18

20

24

- Q How long have you been there?
- 8 I started my employment June 2000. So almost 9 coming up on nine years.
 - What is your current position?
 - I'm an environmental scientist with the central watershed protection unit.
 - How long have you held that position?
 - Since -- I've held the position of environmental scientist since I was hired here at the state board, and I've been with the central watershed protection unit for two years.
 - Q Before you were with the central watershed protection unit, what was your position within the RWQCB?
 - A I originally was hired as an environmental scientist in the storm water unit. I performed my duties there until we reorganized, and then I was an environmental scientist with the northern watershed protection unit. Again, we reorganized, I became an

10

want you to speculate or guess.

I often explain the difference between speculations -- speculating and guessing by example. As an example, you can estimate the size of the table in front of you here because you have some reason to perceive its size, some personal knowledge. But as to the size of the table that's in my office that you've never seen, you have no information and that would be pure speculation. Do you understand the difference between estimates and speculation on the other hand?

- Α Yes.
- As you've been doing, we need to have oral responses, those that we can hear rather than head nods, gestures, that kind of thing. Okay?
 - A Okay.
- From time to time counsel might object to a question that I ask, but you're required to give an answer if you understand the question. Okay?
- If you don't understand a question, please let me know and I'll try my best to rephrase it in a way that makes some sense or --
- 23 Α
- 24 Q Sir, are you currently employed?
 - Α Yes.

12

- 1 environmental scientist with the southern watershed
- protection unit. And then a couple years ago, when I
- 3 accepted the job of transportation liaison to SANDAG and
 - Caltrans, I joined the central watershed protection
 - unit.
 - Q Describe for me, if you would, your job responsibilities working for the RWQCB currently.
- 8 A Currently I am working on all aspects of 9 environmental permitting for large transportation
- 10 projects included in the SANDAG and Caltrans early
- 11 action plan. A portion of my -- I'm contracted with
- 12 SANDAG and Caltrans to expedite environmental permitting
- 13 for a number of large transportation projects that are
- 14 being funded by the TransNet II tax. Additionally, when
- 15 there isn't contract work for me, I perform whatever
- 16 watershed protection duties are necessary as my
- 17 supervisor sees fit.
 - Q Who is your supervisor?
- 19 My supervisor is Chiara Clemente.
 - Q And Chiara is C-h-i-a-r-a?
- 21 Α Yes.
- 22 And is Clemente C-I-e-m-e --O
- 23 M-e-n-t-e. Sorry.
 - MR. SIMPSON: You got both of us?
- 25 THE REPORTER: (Nods head.)

enforce Section 401 of the clean water act.

15 13 1 How about orders of the regional board? THE WITNESS: Sorry. 1 2 2 BY MR. SIMPSON: Α 3 What is her job title, if you know? 3 Q How about provisions of the basin plan for 4 Senior environmental scientist. the San Diego region? Α 5 A Yes. 5 Q And to whom does she report, if you know? 6 She would report to the branch manager of the 6 Is it your understanding that as an 7 watershed protection branch, which is David Barker. 7 enforcement officer for the Regional Water Quality 8 8 Control Board here in San Diego that the board itself is And who is his supervisor, if you know? 9 He would report to the assistant executive 9 required to conform its conduct and orders to the 10 provision of the California water code? 10 officer, which is Michael McCann. 11 MR. LEON: The question is somewhat 11 M, small c, capital C-a-n-n? 12 ambiguous. I'm not trying to interrupt or object, but 12 Α Yes. 13 just to try to get clarity as to who it is that you're 13 Q And to whom does he report? 14 He would report to the executive officer of 14 asking the question of, whether he's the enforcement 15 officer or something else is an enforcement officer and 15 the regional board, John Robertus. 16 what that person's duty is, as you said. 16 And to whom does John Robertus report? 17 17 I imagine he would report to the executive BY MR. SIMPSON: 18 18 Q Is it your personal understanding as an director of the state water resources control board. 19 enforcement officer for RWQCB San Diego region that the 19 MR. LEON: He actually reports to the board 20 itself. 20 regional board is required to follow provisions of the 21 21 THE WITNESS: To the board. water code? 22 Yes. 22 BY MR. SIMPSON: Α 23 Q is it your understanding that he reports to 23 When did you first become aware of anything 24 having to do with my clients, Bill and Lori Moritz? 24 the Regional Water Quality Control Board for the San 25 A In the month of May 2008, I was forwarded a 25 Diego region? 14 16 complaint from the city of Poway. My supervisor, Chiara 1 1 Yes. As its executive officer? 2 Clemente, forwarded me a complaint regarding your client 2 Q 3 and asked that I look into it. 3 Α Yes. 4 Q From whom did the complaint come, if you The typical, if there is such a thing, 4 5 5 cleanup and abatement order that's issued under water know? A I believe it was Danis Bechter, staff person 6 code section 13304, are they -- describe if you would 6 7 7 the life of a typical cleanup and abatement order. with the city of Poway. Q And that's D-a-n-i-s, for Danis, and Bechter 8 The life of -- could you be more --8 Α 9 9 O Sure. is B-e-c-h-t-e-r. 10 A I believe so. 10 Α -- clear? 11 Is part of your job duty to enforce water 11 Q Was the complaint in writing? 12 code provisions? 12 It was by e-mail. 13 13 And we're talking not about a complaint such Α Yes. 14 as a legal document that's filed in court, but a 14 Q What else do you do as part of your job 15 complaint as far as a concern about water code 15 duties? 16 violation? 16 A As I described before, I currently am aiding 17 17 SANDAG and Caltrans in environmental permitting review Α Yes. 18 of large transportation projects. 18 Q What did he say to you? 19 Q Bad question from me. 19 The e-mail said that Dr. Moritz had been 20 20 impacting an ephemeral stream running through his What other pieces of legislation or 21 21 property without proper grading permits. regulations or orders do you enforce? 22 22 Anything else? A We enforce the Porter-Cologne Water Quality 23 A There was contained in the PDF that was 23 Control Act, the water code, and in regards to the 24 issuance of 401, water quality certifications, we 24 provided, I believe, some enforcement -- well, some stop

25

work orders from the city of Poway, a conversation memo,

- some photographs. To the best of my recollection,
- 2 that's what it contained.

- Q Notices of violation?
- A I don't recall if there was a notice of violation in the original complaint provided to me.
- Q What did you do, if anything, in response to the complaint that Danis Bechter had sent to you in May?
- A I believe I contacted the city of Poway to get more information about it.
 - Q To whom did you speak?
- A Danis Bechter.
- 12 Q And when you contacted him, was that via 13 e-mail or orally?
 - A I don't recall how the first contact came.
- 15 Q What was your purpose for contacting him?
 - A Just to assess, get some information about what was happening out there.
 - Q What did he tell you?
- A He told me that Dr. Moritz had been grading on his property. He had been issued stop work orders.
- I believe we discussed a sketch or a water color
 painting that Dr. Moritz had provided regarding how his vision of what he wanted his property to took like.
- Q Did you discuss Danis Bechter's -- whether
 Danis Bechter had a belief that Bill Moritz was

- Q Did you guys have any discussions about what you believed, you or Danis believed were Bill Moritz's skill levels as far as the environmental code provisions are concerned?
 - A We may have, but I don't recall exact conversations.
- Q Have you ever seen a situation where, in response to a request from a governmental agency for a restoration plan, that somebody submitted a water color painting?
 - A Water color paintings, no.
- 12 Q So this was fairly unusual?
 - A Yes

Q I'm going to show you what I will mark as Exhibit 52. And we're starting at 52 because we've had a couple of other depositions. And this one, unfortunately, I don't have a separate copy of at this point. So we're going to have to share.

Is Exhibit 52 the water color painting that you discussed with Danis Bechter that you've just testified about?

A Yes.

(Exhibit 52 marked)

BY MR. SIMPSON:

Q Is it your understanding that that was Bill

unsophisticated?

MR. LEON: Excuse me. I'll object. It's vague and ambiguous and could lead to a huge misunderstanding about what that term means.

THE WITNESS: I don't recall.

6 BY MR. SIMPSON:

- Q Tell me about the water color sketch. What did he say about that?
- A He said that they had requested Dr. Moritz to restore the stream and provide a plan showing how he would do that, and they were provided with this water color sketch, and that, in Danis's estimation, it was inadequate.
- Q Did Danis suggest to you that he believed that because when Danis asked Bill Moritz for a plan and Bill Moritz gave him a water color picture, that demonstrated that Bill Moritz was unsophisticated as far as environmental requirements are concerned?
- A I don't recall him portraying it that way, no.
- Q Did you guys have any conversation at all about what you guys perceived was Bill Moritz's skill level as far as environmental code provisions are concerned?
 - A Could you repeat the question, please?

- 1 Moritz's plan as far as response to the city of Poway?
 - A Yes.
- 3 Q Was that something that you learned about at 4 the outset of your involvement?
 - A Yes.
- 6 Q And that was May of 2008?
 - A Yes.
 - Q What was the next thing that you did with respect to this case?
 - A Due to the fact that there were already stop work orders in place, I didn't do anything except try to keep tabs on it from initially, because I thought that the stop work orders would have put a halt to anything that was going to impact the stream, and that perhaps from that point we would be able to address the situation.
 - Q What is the next date, if you know, that you were -- that you did anything with respect to this case?
 - A I read e-mails after the initial complaint was given to us from Danis Bechter stating that work had continued, and that eventually over Memorial Day weekend the stream had been completely filled in and a pipe had been installed across the property. I believe in between that time I also asked for copies of whatever

stop work orders, any documentation that the city of

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Poway had provided Dr. Moritz.

Q Do you believe that the RWQCB has the authority to enforce city of Poway grading ordinances?

MR. LEON: Calls for legal speculation.

THE WITNESS: No, I don't know.

BY MR. SIMPSON:

Q You're an enforcement officer for Regional Water Quality Control Board to enforce the power of the regional board, right?

Α Correct.

O Have you ever enforced grading ordinances for any city within the San Diego region?

Α

Have you ever seen the Regional Water Quality O Control Board or heard of the Regional Water Quality Control Board having done that?

A Only in the purview of perhaps the municipal storm water permits requiring that to comply with those permits the cities have adequate ordinances to comply with that municipal storm water permit.

And the municipal storm water permit you're talking about is currently 2007 hyphen 0001?

Α Yes.

And that's the order by which the regional board has cities become co-permittees of a permit to 23

need to comply with it, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Q But what does the municipal permit require of cities such as the city of Poway, generally speaking?

A Generally speaking, it requires them to implement a program to address storm water pollution by the implementation of BMPs, enforcement of their municipal codes, and having the legal authority to enforce their own code and comply with the permit.

Q And, among other things, that requires of the city to have grading ordinances in place that require people performing grading on property to have erosion control plans, correct?

Α Yes.

Q And it also requires that the city to periodically address the condition of culverts within the city of Poway, right?

A I'm not sure what you mean by that.

Q What is a culvert?

A A culvert is a crossing of a stream or a structure that allows water to pass through it.

And that basically conveys storm water from one side of a road, for example, to another?

A It can convey water of any kind from one side to the other.

And if it's plugged, then the road that the Q

22

plan for storm water management?

A Yes.

And that's the authority under which cities have to prepare items such as jurisdictional urban runoff management plans terms?

O Do you know whether the city of Poway has a JURMP pertaining to the area in which my client's home sits?

A I know that the city of Poway had a JURMP that incorporates the entire city within their jurisdiction.

Q Do you know whether that covers the culverts and drainage swales along Crocker Road next to the Rattlesnake Creek tributary that's upgradient of my client's property?

A I would assume so.

And that's because it's with the jurisdiction of the city of Poway?

Correct. Α

Q So it's your understanding as an enforcement officer for the regional board that the city of Poway must manage storm water upgradient of my client's property?

As it pertains to the municipal permit, they

culvert would otherwise allow the water to pass beneath 1 2 becomes a dam, correct?

A Potentially.

Do you know whether there is a program or Q there's a requirement of the storm water permits for cities such as the city of Poway to periodically clean its culverts?

A I'm not sure if the municipal storm water permit requires that or not.

Q Do you know if there's any other requirement of the city of Poway to do that cleaning out of culverts upgradient of my client's property under the authority of any code provision or regulation of any type?

A I'm not sure.

What is the next thing you did with respect Q to the Moritz property?

A The next thing I did was inspect the site on June 9th, 2008 in anticipation of attending a meeting with Dr. Moritz's representative and the city of Poway at the city of Poway building, City Hall.

Q Did you have any conversation with Bill Moritz before June 9, 2008?

Α

Did you have any communication with him via e-mail or any other means before June 9, 2008?

24

25 27 1 1 Α No. Q What else did he say? 2 He was concerned about his 90-year-old 2 How about Lori Moritz? Did you have any Α mother, who was recovering from a broken neck, and who 3 conversation or communication with Lori Moritz before June 9, 2008? 4 liked to take walks around the property. And he was 4 5 A No. 5 concerned that the pipe that Dr. Moritz had installed Now, at the time Bill Moritz -- I'll try to 6 didn't connect the dots with the stream and was 6 Q 7 basically to the -- I believe it would be west of where 7 put this properly -- was being assisted by a fellow 8 named Stuart Sandrock. Are you aware of that name? the stream used to go, and he was concerned that 8 9 discharge from that pipe could potentially - his mother 9 10 could slip and re-injure herself. 10 And had you had any communication with Stuart 11 Q Did he say how his mother was injured? 11 Sandrock before June 9, 2008? 12 Α 12 Α A broken neck. No. 13 13 How about anybody with the Moritz's interests O Did he say how her neck got broken? 14 14 in mind before June 9, 2008? Had you had any Α 15 Q Did he describe at all what had been done on 15 communications with them before that time? 16 Α 16 the Moritz property? 17 17 Q How did you get to the property on June 9, Α Yes. 2008? 18 Q What did he say? 18 Danis Bechter drove us, by us, I mean me and 19 He mentioned that -- he talked about the 19 Α 20 importation of dirt onto the site by truckload. 20 Kelly Fisher of the California Department of Fish and 21 Did he say how much dirt was imported? 21 Game, drove us to Crocker Road. 22 Q And then where did you go? 22 He couldn't give us an accurate estimation, 23 We parked on Crocker Road, and then we went 23 but he said it was many, many truckloads. Α 24 Q Did he say that he saw the truckloads dump 24 to the adjacent property of Sean Marsden. 25 their loads? 25 On June 9, 2008, did you set foot on my 28 26 1 A: I don't recall. 1 client's property? 2 Did he say that some of those dump trucks 2 Α No. 3 went onto the property to the north of my client's 3 Q When is the first time that you did that? The first time I set foot on your client's 4 property? 4 5 A I don't remember him saying that, no. 5 property was for the inspection in which you invited me 6 б to attend. Q Did he deny it? 7 7 Q August 29th? A I don't think trucks to the client's property 8 Α Yes, that sounds right. 8 north of Dr. Moritz came up in our conversation. 9 9 Did you have an inspection warrant when you Q Did you observe the property to the north of 10 went out to my client's property on June 9, 2008? 10 my client's property on June 9, 2008? 11 11 Α No. A No. Well, I saw it. I didn't pay particular 12 12 Did Danis Bechter? attention to it. Q 13 I don't know. 13 Q On June 9, 2008, did you have an Α 14 Q Did Kelly Fisher? 14 understanding where the property line divided between 15 the north property and my client's property? 15 I don't know. Α 16 A I assumed it was the access road that is in 16 Q You guys went onto Sean Marsden's property? 17 between them, somewhere around there. 17 Α 18 Q And you're referring to an access road that 18 Q And he's the property owner to the south? 19 was an east/west access road on the northern edge of the 19 Α To the south. Did you speak with Sean Marsden that day? 20 property? 20 Q 21 A Yes. 21 Α Yes. 22 Did Sean Marsden say whether any of the soil 22 Q What did he say? 23 I don't recall the conversation completely, 23 that was on the Moritz property had migrated onto the 24 Marsden property to the south? 24 but the gist of it was that he was upset at the 25 A I don't recall him saying that. 25 activities that had occurred on Dr. Moritz's property.

- Q Did he say he was concerned about that coccurring at some point in the future?
 - A I don't recall.
 - Q From the standpoint of the RWQCB, were you as an enforcement officer concerned about what you observed on the Moritz property as of June 9, 2008?
 - A Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q What concerns did you have?
- 9 I had concerns that the pipe had been placed 10 improperly and that it could potentially cause erosion 11 onto Mr. Marsden's property. I had concerns that a 12 stream had been filled in without the proper permits. I 13 had concerns that this project had been conducted 14 potentially by someone who is not a civil engineer, and 15 I had concerns that the soil that had been imported onto 16 the site was not properly compacted and that there was 17 no erosion and sediment controls to prevent the movement 18 of sediment onto Mr. Marsden's property should a 19 significant rain event occur.
 - Q As of June 9, did you have any other concerns?
 - A I can't think of any other concerns I had at that point.
 - Q Can you discuss for me, if you would, your educational background?

31

for the United States Census as a data input operator for the census, 2000 census.

- Q And then you got a job here?
- A I interviewed with the regional board and got a job here.
- Q What was discussed at the June 9, 2008 meeting that you've testified about earlier?
- MR. LEON: Vague and ambiguous. Discussed among who?

BY MR. SIMPSON:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q You can answer if you understand.
- A We discussed the situation and our expectations of what was necessary to remedy the situation.
 - Q What did Mr. Sandrock say?
- A He discussed personality conflicts with city of Poway staff, that he was there to try and work towards some sort of resolution to this situation, that he wanted to get facts and bring -- he basically said he wanted to bring everyone together and try to come to some sort of resolution to this.
- Q Did he say where the personality conflicts were? Between who?
- A I believe it was with Don Sharp, an inspector for the city of Poway.

30

- A From where would you like me to start?
 - Q Sometime after high school. How about that.
- 3 A Okay. I received a bachelor of science in
- 4 environmental science from Sonoma State University, with
- 5 a concentration in water quality. As part of my
- 6 bachelor of science program, I was required to do an
- 7 internship prior to graduation, and I interned at the
- 8 North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board for a
- period of approximately a year. And a bachelor of
 science is the highest level of education I've received.
 - Q What jobs did you have before coming to the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board?
 - A Quite a few.
 - Q What jobs did you have after college and before --
 - A After college and before coming -- I'm sorry.

 I'm sorry.
 - Q I'm having a hard time finishing my questions because sometimes I'm not fully, well, caffeinated. I don't know.

In any event, before coming to RWQCB and after college, what jobs did you have?

A After graduation, I moved with my wife here to San Diego. And while awaiting an interview with the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board, I worked

32

- 1 Q Don, D-o-n?
 - A Yes.
- 3 Q Between Don Sharp and who?
 - A And Dr. Moritz.
- 5 Q What did he say about that?
- 6 A I don't recall the exact conversations, but
- basically that there was a personality conflict between
 Dr. Moritz and Don Sharp.
 - Q What was the next thing that you did with respect to this matter?
 - A I at the meeting, I informed Mr. Sandrock that the regional board was contemplating issuing a cleanup and abatement order, and I tried to give him a little background on that, and tell him what the cleanup and abatement order was and what it would most likely require.
 - Q Did you explain to him the extent of the cleanup and abatement order that you were contemplating at that point?
 - A I explained to him that the cleanup and abatement order would require his client to remove the pipe and restore the creek to its pre-impact conditions.
 - Q Why was it that you were contemplating a cleanup and abatement order as of June 9, 2008?
 - A Because that's generally the appropriate

	33	T	35
١,		١,	
1	enforcement action to take in a case like this.	1 2	Q in the rainy season, April 2005?
2	Q When you say "a case like this," what do you mean?	3	A I don't recall the exact date that she was
3		4	there in 2005. I was subsequently provided photos from her visit in 2005.
4 5	A An illegal filling of a waters of the state. Q When you say waters of the state, what do you	5	Q And you saw water in one of those photos?
6	Q When you say waters of the state, what do you mean by that?	6	A Yes.
7	•	7	Q She provided you with three photos?
8	A I mean any ground or surface or saline waters contained within the State of California.	8	A I don't recall how many. One photo. One
9	Q Is it your understanding that any ground	9	photo.
10	that's filled in is within the jurisdiction of the	10	Q And that was a close-up showing water in the
11	regional board here in San Diego?	11	gully?
12	A What do you mean by "any ground"?	12	A It was a photograph taken on the northern
13	Q Well, as I understood what your last answer	13	property looking south towards Dr. Moritz's property,
14	was, that you believed well, strike that. Let's go	14	which showed an ephemeral stream – it showed a stream
15	back to your last answer.	15	with water flowing in it.
16	(Record read)	16	Q I'm going to show you what I'll mark as
17	BY MR, SIMPSON:	17	Exhibit 53. And that is indicated on there – well, is
18	Q Is it your understanding that waters of the	18	this the photo that Kelly Fisher provided you?
19	state means ground or ground water?	19	A Yes.
20	A Ground water.	20	(Exhibit 53 marked)
21	Q So when you're talking about waters of the	21	BY MR. SIMPSON:
22	state, your understanding of that term is ground water,	22	Q Is it your understanding that this was taken
23	surface water or saline water?	23	on April 11, 2005?
24	A Correct.	24	A Yes.
25	Q The Moritz property, when you observed it	25	Q And is that your handwriting beneath the
}	**************************************	·}	
	34		36
1		1	
1 2	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there?	1 2	photograph?
2	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there? A I hadn't observed the property prior to	2	photograph? A Yes.
2	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there? A I hadn't observed the property prior to June 9.	2	photograph? A Yes. Q Any understanding where the property line is
2 3 4	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there? A I hadn't observed the property prior to June 9. Q On June 9, was there any water in there?	2 3 4	photograph? A Yes. Q Any understanding where the property line is between my client's property and the property to the
2 3 4 5	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there? A I hadn't observed the property prior to June 9. Q On June 9, was there any water in there? A On June 9, at the meeting, we looked over	2 3 4 5	photograph? A Yes. Q Any understanding where the property line is between my client's property and the property to the north?
2 3 4 5 6	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there? A I hadn't observed the property prior to June 9. Q On June 9, was there any water in there? A On June 9, at the meeting, we looked over topographic maps that the Department of Fish and Game	2 3 4 5 6	photograph? A Yes. Q Any understanding where the property line is between my client's property and the property to the north? A I would assume it would be that fence, but
2 3 4 5	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there? A I hadn't observed the property prior to June 9. Q On June 9, was there any water in there? A On June 9, at the meeting, we looked over topographic maps that the Department of Fish and Game had, and we discussed the fact that Kelly Fisher had	2 3 4 5	photograph? A Yes. Q Any understanding where the property line is between my client's property and the property to the north? A I would assume it would be that fence, but I I don't know for sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there? A I hadn't observed the property prior to June 9. Q On June 9, was there any water in there? A On June 9, at the meeting, we looked over topographic maps that the Department of Fish and Game had, and we discussed the fact that Kelly Fisher had been out there in 2005 and what she had observed, and	2 3 4 5 6 7	photograph? A Yes. Q Any understanding where the property line is between my client's property and the property to the north? A I would assume it would be that fence, but I I don't know for sure. Q Is it your understanding that that's an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there? A I hadn't observed the property prior to June 9. Q On June 9, was there any water in there? A On June 9, at the meeting, we looked over topographic maps that the Department of Fish and Game had, and we discussed the fact that Kelly Fisher had been out there in 2005 and what she had observed, and her observation that there were in fact waters of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	photograph? A Yes. Q Any understanding where the property line is between my client's property and the property to the north? A I would assume it would be that fence, but I I don't know for sure. Q Is it your understanding that that's an access road that's within the two fences that are shown
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there? A I hadn't observed the property prior to June 9. Q On June 9, was there any water in there? A On June 9, at the meeting, we looked over topographic maps that the Department of Fish and Game had, and we discussed the fact that Kelly Fisher had been out there in 2005 and what she had observed, and her observation that there were in fact waters of the state on the property north and on Dr. Moritz's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	photograph? A Yes. Q Any understanding where the property line is between my client's property and the property to the north? A I would assume it would be that fence, but I I don't know for sure. Q Is it your understanding that that's an access road that's within the two fences that are shown in the upper portion of the photograph?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there? A I hadn't observed the property prior to June 9. Q On June 9, was there any water in there? A On June 9, at the meeting, we looked over topographic maps that the Department of Fish and Game had, and we discussed the fact that Kelly Fisher had been out there in 2005 and what she had observed, and her observation that there were in fact waters of the state on the property north and on Dr. Moritz's property.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	photograph? A Yes. Q Any understanding where the property line is between my client's property and the property to the north? A I would assume it would be that fence, but I I don't know for sure. Q Is it your understanding that that's an access road that's within the two fences that are shown in the upper portion of the photograph? A It would seem so, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there? A I hadn't observed the property prior to June 9. Q On June 9, was there any water in there? A On June 9, at the meeting, we looked over topographic maps that the Department of Fish and Game had, and we discussed the fact that Kelly Fisher had been out there in 2005 and what she had observed, and her observation that there were in fact waters of the state on the property north and on Dr. Moritz's property.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	photograph? A Yes. Q Any understanding where the property line is between my client's property and the property to the north? A I would assume it would be that fence, but I I don't know for sure. Q Is it your understanding that that's an access road that's within the two fences that are shown in the upper portion of the photograph? A It would seem so, yes. Q Do you have an understanding as to whether
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there? A I hadn't observed the property prior to June 9. Q On June 9, was there any water in there? A On June 9, at the meeting, we looked over topographic maps that the Department of Fish and Game had, and we discussed the fact that Kelly Fisher had been out there in 2005 and what she had observed, and her observation that there were in fact waters of the state on the property north and on Dr. Moritz's property. Q On June 9, did you observe any water on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	photograph? A Yes. Q Any understanding where the property line is between my client's property and the property to the north? A I would assume it would be that fence, but I I don't know for sure. Q Is it your understanding that that's an access road that's within the two fences that are shown in the upper portion of the photograph? A It would seem so, yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there? A I hadn't observed the property prior to June 9. Q On June 9, was there any water in there? A On June 9, at the meeting, we looked over topographic maps that the Department of Fish and Game had, and we discussed the fact that Kelly Fisher had been out there in 2005 and what she had observed, and her observation that there were in fact waters of the state on the property north and on Dr. Moritz's property. Q On June 9, did you observe any water on the Moritz property?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	photograph? A Yes. Q Any understanding where the property line is between my client's property and the property to the north? A I would assume it would be that fence, but I I don't know for sure. Q Is it your understanding that that's an access road that's within the two fences that are shown in the upper portion of the photograph? A It would seem so, yes. Q Do you have an understanding as to whether this is my client's property shown in the background of this photograph?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there? A I hadn't observed the property prior to June 9. Q On June 9, was there any water in there? A On June 9, at the meeting, we looked over topographic maps that the Department of Fish and Game had, and we discussed the fact that Kelly Fisher had been out there in 2005 and what she had observed, and her observation that there were in fact waters of the state on the property north and on Dr. Moritz's property. Q On June 9, did you observe any water on the Moritz property? A No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	photograph? A Yes. Q Any understanding where the property line is between my client's property and the property to the north? A I would assume it would be that fence, but I I don't know for sure. Q Is it your understanding that that's an access road that's within the two fences that are shown in the upper portion of the photograph? A It would seem so, yes. Q Do you have an understanding as to whether this is my client's property shown in the background of this photograph?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there? A I hadn't observed the property prior to June 9. Q On June 9, was there any water in there? A On June 9, at the meeting, we looked over topographic maps that the Department of Fish and Game had, and we discussed the fact that Kelly Fisher had been out there in 2005 and what she had observed, and her observation that there were in fact waters of the state on the property north and on Dr. Moritz's property. Q On June 9, did you observe any water on the Moritz property? A No. Q Have you ever personally observed water on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	photograph? A Yes. Q Any understanding where the property line is between my client's property and the property to the north? A I would assume it would be that fence, but I I don't know for sure. Q Is it your understanding that that's an access road that's within the two fences that are shown in the upper portion of the photograph? A It would seem so, yes. Q Do you have an understanding as to whether this is my client's property shown in the background of this photograph? A Only by the fact that that is what Kelly
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there? A I hadn't observed the property prior to June 9. Q On June 9, was there any water in there? A On June 9, at the meeting, we looked over topographic maps that the Department of Fish and Game had, and we discussed the fact that Kelly Fisher had been out there in 2005 and what she had observed, and her observation that there were in fact waters of the state on the property north and on Dr. Moritz's property. Q On June 9, did you observe any water on the Moritz property? A No. Q Have you ever personally observed water on the Moritz property?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	photograph? A Yes. Q Any understanding where the property line is between my client's property and the property to the north? A I would assume it would be that fence, but I I don't know for sure. Q Is it your understanding that that's an access road that's within the two fences that are shown in the upper portion of the photograph? A It would seem so, yes. Q Do you have an understanding as to whether this is my client's property shown in the background of this photograph? A Only by the fact that that is what Kelly Fisher told me, and that is what the caption of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there? A I hadn't observed the property prior to June 9. Q On June 9, was there any water in there? A On June 9, at the meeting, we looked over topographic maps that the Department of Fish and Game had, and we discussed the fact that Kelly Fisher had been out there in 2005 and what she had observed, and her observation that there were in fact waters of the state on the property north and on Dr. Moritz's property. Q On June 9, did you observe any water on the Moritz property? A No. Q Have you ever personally observed water on the Moritz property? A Personally?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	photograph? A Yes. Q Any understanding where the property line is between my client's property and the property to the north? A I would assume it would be that fence, but I I don't know for sure. Q Is it your understanding that that's an access road that's within the two fences that are shown in the upper portion of the photograph? A It would seem so, yes. Q Do you have an understanding as to whether this is my client's property shown in the background of this photograph? A Only by the fact that that is what Kelly Fisher told me, and that is what the caption of the photo she provided me says.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there? A I hadn't observed the property prior to June 9. Q On June 9, was there any water in there? A On June 9, at the meeting, we looked over topographic maps that the Department of Fish and Game had, and we discussed the fact that Kelly Fisher had been out there in 2005 and what she had observed, and her observation that there were in fact waters of the state on the property north and on Dr. Moritz's property. Q On June 9, did you observe any water on the Moritz property? A No. Q Have you ever personally observed water on the Moritz property? A Personally? Q Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	photograph? A Yes. Q Any understanding where the property line is between my client's property and the property to the north? A I would assume it would be that fence, but I I don't know for sure. Q Is it your understanding that that's an access road that's within the two fences that are shown in the upper portion of the photograph? A It would seem so, yes. Q Do you have an understanding as to whether this is my client's property shown in the background of this photograph? A Only by the fact that that is what Kelly Fisher told me, and that is what the caption of the photo she provided me says. Q You don't have any firsthand knowledge of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there? A I hadn't observed the property prior to June 9. Q On June 9, was there any water in there? A On June 9, at the meeting, we looked over topographic maps that the Department of Fish and Game had, and we discussed the fact that Kelly Fisher had been out there in 2005 and what she had observed, and her observation that there were in fact waters of the state on the property north and on Dr. Moritz's property. Q On June 9, did you observe any water on the Moritz property? A No. Q Have you ever personally observed water on the Moritz property? A Personally? Q Yes. A No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	photograph? A Yes. Q Any understanding where the property line is between my client's property and the property to the north? A I would assume it would be that fence, but I I don't know for sure. Q Is it your understanding that that's an access road that's within the two fences that are shown in the upper portion of the photograph? A It would seem so, yes. Q Do you have an understanding as to whether this is my client's property shown in the background of this photograph? A Only by the fact that that is what Kelly Fisher told me, and that is what the caption of the photo she provided me says. Q You don't have any firsthand knowledge of what's depicted in this photograph, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there? A I hadn't observed the property prior to June 9. Q On June 9, was there any water in there? A On June 9, at the meeting, we looked over topographic maps that the Department of Fish and Game had, and we discussed the fact that Kelly Fisher had been out there in 2005 and what she had observed, and her observation that there were in fact waters of the state on the property north and on Dr. Moritz's property. Q On June 9, did you observe any water on the Moritz property? A No. Q Have you ever personally observed water on the Moritz property? A Personally? Q Yes. A No. Q The information about water having been on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	photograph? A Yes. Q Any understanding where the property line is between my client's property and the property to the north? A I would assume it would be that fence, but I I don't know for sure. Q Is it your understanding that that's an access road that's within the two fences that are shown in the upper portion of the photograph? A It would seem so, yes. Q Do you have an understanding as to whether this is my client's property shown in the background of this photograph? A Only by the fact that that is what Kelly Fisher told me, and that is what the caption of the photo she provided me says. Q You don't have any firsthand knowledge of what's depicted in this photograph, right? A I don't understand.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there? A I hadn't observed the property prior to June 9. Q On June 9, was there any water in there? A On June 9, at the meeting, we looked over topographic maps that the Department of Fish and Game had, and we discussed the fact that Kelly Fisher had been out there in 2005 and what she had observed, and her observation that there were in fact waters of the state on the property north and on Dr. Moritz's property. Q On June 9, did you observe any water on the Moritz property? A No. Q Have you ever personally observed water on the Moritz property? A Personally? Q Yes. A No. Q The information about water having been on the Moritz property came from a discussion that you had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	photograph? A Yes. Q Any understanding where the property line is between my client's property and the property to the north? A I would assume it would be that fence, but I I don't know for sure. Q Is it your understanding that that's an access road that's within the two fences that are shown in the upper portion of the photograph? A It would seem so, yes. Q Do you have an understanding as to whether this is my client's property shown in the background of this photograph? A Only by the fact that that is what Kelly Fisher told me, and that is what the caption of the photo she provided me says. Q You don't have any firsthand knowledge of what's depicted in this photograph, right? A I don't understand. Q You weren't there when this photo was taken?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	before June 9, had you ever seen any water in there? A I hadn't observed the property prior to June 9. Q On June 9, was there any water in there? A On June 9, at the meeting, we looked over topographic maps that the Department of Fish and Game had, and we discussed the fact that Kelly Fisher had been out there in 2005 and what she had observed, and her observation that there were in fact waters of the state on the property north and on Dr. Moritz's property. Q On June 9, did you observe any water on the Moritz property? A No. Q Have you ever personally observed water on the Moritz property? A Personally? Q Yes. A No. Q The information about water having been on the Moritz property came from a discussion that you had with Kelly Fisher, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	photograph? A Yes. Q Any understanding where the property line is between my client's property and the property to the north? A I would assume it would be that fence, but I I don't know for sure. Q Is it your understanding that that's an access road that's within the two fences that are shown in the upper portion of the photograph? A It would seem so, yes. Q Do you have an understanding as to whether this is my client's property shown in the background of this photograph? A Only by the fact that that is what Kelly Fisher told me, and that is what the caption of the photo she provided me says. Q You don't have any firsthand knowledge of what's depicted in this photograph, right? A I don't understand. Q You weren't there when this photo was taken? A No, I was not.

Α

Q

Q

writing?

Lori or Dr. Moritz?

Spoken, no.

Via e-mail, yes.

Other than that, have you spoken to either

You've communicated with Dr. Moritz in

20

21

22

23

24

25

37 39 Q De he ever describe to you the condition of 1 telling me the truth. 1 2 the ephemeral stream after the Witch Creek fires and 2 Q So you're relying on her telling you the after the winter storms of 2007/2008? 3 truth as far as this being the Moritz property? 4 4 5 Do you have any understanding as to how much 5 Q Did you ever have any understanding that he Q 6 6 performed work on his property as a consequence of the water must fall in order for the ephemeral stream that 7 Witch Creek fires and the winter storms of 2007/2008? 7 crosses my client's property to flow? 8 8 Α Α 9 Sir, I'm going to hand you what I've marked 9 Q Any idea what my client's property looked Q as Exhibit 55. And Exhibit 55 is a stop work notice 10 like in 2007? 10 from the city of Poway dated February 7, 2008. Do you 11 Α 11 12 see that? 12 Any idea what my client's property looked 13 like after the Witch Creek fires and after winter rains 13 Α Yes. 14 but before my client did any work on his property in 14 (Exhibit 55 marked) 15 BY MR. SIMPSON: 15 February of 2008? A Only pictures I saw on sharekids.com of the 16 16 Q Is this the stop work notice or one of the 17 2007 fires, which didn't really provide photos that I 17 stop work notices about which you testified earlier? 18 MR. SIMPSON: Off the record. 18 could see that showed the creek. When did you first observe those photographs? 19 (Off the record) 19 20 20 BY MR. SIMPSON: I don't remember the first time I looked at 21 Q Sir, do you recognize Exhibit 55 as one of 21 sharekids.com, but I know that - I believe it was after 22 correspondence I received from Stuart Sandrock. And I 22 the stop work notices that the city of Poway sent to 23 23 you? went on the site just to see what it was about. 24 24 Q I'm going to show you a group exhibit of Α 25 25 three pages of photographs. I'll mark that as The criticism here, is it your understanding 40 38 1 that it was importing of fill without a haul permit? 1 Exhibit 54. Are those the photographs that you observed 2 Α 2 on the share kids web site? 3 And it mentions on here contact Sam Tadros. Q 3 A Yes. 4 Do you see that? 4 (Exhibit 54 marked) 5 Α Yes. 5 BY MR. SIMPSON: Did you ever contact Sam Tadros? 6 Q Are any of the photographs in Exhibit 54 6 Q 7 photographs that depict the ephemeral stream? 7 I have not contacted Sam Tadros. Have you ever had any communication at all 8 A I can't really see the stream in any of these 8 Q 9 photos, no. 9 with Sam Tadros? 10 Did you ever talk to Dr. Moritz about what 10 A One-on-one communication, no. I'm not even sure if he was at the meeting on June 9th. He may have 11 happened to his property after the Witch Creek fire? 11 12 12 I have never spoken to Dr. Moritz personally. been. 13 Have you ever spoken to Lori Moritz? 13 Have you had any communications with Bill Q 14 14 Moritz about his communications with Sam Tadros? That's Α Yes. 15 T-a-d-r-o-s, by the way. 15 Q When did you speak with her? 16 A I have read correspondence from Mr. Sandrock 16 I spoke with her on the second inspection in Α 17 concerning contacts. I mean, I remember Sam Tadros's 17 August. 18 name being in there. 18 August 29, 2008? Q 19 Q Have you ever heard from any source that Bill 19 Correct.

20

21

22

23

24

25

February 7, 2008?

Moritz understood that he had Sam Tadros's permission to

proceed notwithstanding the stop work notice of

A I have read that in Dr. Moritz's -- or his

Q Did you ever ask him about that or pursue

representative's correspondence.

24

25

Jim Lyon?

A No.

Did Bill Moritz ever communicate with you in

41 43 such a way as to lead you to believe that Bill Moritz 1 that further? 1 2 believed he had the authority of Jim Lyon to proceed 2 Α Dr. Moritz? 3 with work that he was performing at this property? 3 Dr. Moritz's belief that he had Sam Tadros's A I think he may have wrote that or his permission to do the work. 4 4 5 No, I haven't spoken with him regarding that. 5 representative wrote that in communications that they 6 6 provided. Q Why not? 7 Q When did you hear that? 7 Α I don't know. Sir, I'm going to hand you what I'm afraid is 8 A Stuart Sandrock provided me a letter and 8 9 Dr. Moritz provided me correspondence. And later on I 9 a bad copy, which is another stop work order. It's received from my assistant executive officer, a letter 10 10 going to be marked as Exhibit 56. And although it's hard to read, it appears to be dated February 8, 2008. 11 that I believe to be written by Lori Moritz. And it 11 12 12 discussed communications between the city of Poway and Do you see that? 13 Dr. Moritz and his understanding of it. 13 Yes. Α 14 Those communications that you've just 14 (Exhibit 56 marked) described, were they after issuance of the cleanup and 15 15 BY MR. SIMPSON: 16 Q Is this another of the two stop work notices 16 abatement order? 17 A I would believe they were before issuance of 17 that you testified about having received? 18 the cleanup and abatement order. 18 A Yes. 19 What is your understanding of the concern of 19 Q Do you know one way or another? the city of Poway as expressed in this stop work notice? 20 A I would say before. 20 Had you had e-mailed communications with my 21 21 That grading an ephemeral stream is not 22 allowed, and that all grading and import is to stop 22 client before the June 9, 2008 meeting? 23 23 A No. immediately. Q Any communications at all with my clients or 24 24 Now, this notice was issued by Don Sharp. Do 25 their representatives before June 9, 2008? 25 you see that? 42 44 1 1 Yes. Α No. No. Α And the name in the middle of the page is Jim 2 Now, as of June 9, 2008, you already were 2 planning to prepare a cleanup and abatement order and 3 3 Lyon and has a phone number there. Lyon is L-y-o-n. Do knew the contents of what you were planning to put in 4 you see that? 5 the cleanup and abatement order, correct? 5 Α Yes. MR. LEON: Objection. That calls -- that 6 Did you ever speak with Jim Lyon about this 6 7 stop work notice? 7 fails to lay a foundation, and assuming facts not in 8 Α 8 evidence. Mischaracterizes the witness's testimony. 9 9 Did you ever speak with Jim Lyon at all about MR. SIMPSON: Must be a good one. 10 the work that had been performed at my client's 10 MR. LEON: Must be. You can go ahead and 11 property? 11 answer. 12 A I'm trying to remember whether or not 12 BY MR. SIMPSON: 13 Mr. Lyon attended the second August 29th meeting and I 13 Q You can answer if you can. 14 may have spoke to him there. Regarding this initial 14 Could you repeat the question again? 15 I don't think I could spit that one out 15 stop work order, no. Q 16 16 Q So it might have been one of the people that twice. 17 As of June 9, 2008, you already knew what you 17 you talked with at the meeting. But as far as this stop 18 were planning to put into a proposed cleanup and 18 work order is specifically, you've not spoken with him, 19 abatement order, correct? 19 correct? 20 A I knew that -- let me back up a minute and 20 A Correct. Q Did you ever speak with or communicate with 21 21 say that. 22 22 Bill Moritz about conversations Bill Moritz had had with MR. LEON: Just answer the question. Okay?

23

24

25

THE WITNESS: Okay.

THE WITNESS: I was not - I hadn't any

MR. LEON: Don't --

- 1 planned course of action prior to the June 9th meeting.
- 2 A cleanup and abatement order was an option that could
- 3 be pursued, but I hadn't made any positive determination
- 4 that that's the way I was going until I met with
- 5 Mr. Sandrock and got more information, basically.
- 6 BY MR. SIMPSON:

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q When was it that you determined that you ought to recommend — well, strike that.

During the typical cleanup and abatement order process, do you make a recommendation to your supervisor who makes the recommendation up the chain of command to ultimately the executive officer that a cleanup and abatement order should issue?

A First, I discussed it with my supervisor. And because this situation had occurred prior in Poway, we determined that in order to be consistent, we would issue a cleanup and abatement order. And then I began drafting the cleanup and abatement order. I also consulted Mark Alpert of the — who was at that time the supervisor of the compliance assurance unit, basically our enforcement unit.

Q So you made a decision based on what other situations had occurred in the city of Poway?

A There had been prior occurrences of private residents filling in streams on their property without

47

board would not need to step in.

- Q When was it that you determined that the regional board would need to step in?
- A After meeting with Mr. Sandrock and reading his correspondence.
- Q Did you find him to be polarizing?
 MR. LEON: Objection. Vague and ambiguous.
 BY MR. SIMPSON:
 - Q Difficult?

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

22

24

25

10 A No, I didn't find Mr. Sandrock to be 11 difficult. I found him to be a distraction.

Q What do you mean by that?

A I had never had to attend a meeting with a representative of a discharger and have undercover police officers in attendance before.

Q Were there undercover police officers in attendance?

A To the best of my knowledge, there may have been, yes.

Q Do you know who that was?

21 A No, I don't know who it was.

Q Do you know whether they were acting on behalf of Mr. Sandrock or on behalf of the Moritzes?

A The undercover police officers?

Q Yeah.

46

the appropriate permits.

Q In fact, there is e-mail exchanges in the file between RWQCB personnel that we have another situation, right?

A I don't know what -- I'd have to see the e-mails you're referring to.

Q After receiving the stop work notice that is Exhibit 56, did you make any effort to contact Jim Lyon about it?

A No.

Q Why not?

MR. LEON: Objection. It's argumentative.

Assumes facts not in evidence. Fails to lay a foundation. Assumes that the client believed he had a responsibility under some protocol to speak to Mr. Lyons.

17 BY MR. SIMPSON:

Q You can answer.

2 100 Can answer.

MR. LEON: You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question?

BY MR. SIMPSON:

Q Why not?

A Because at the time I was hoping that this was a situation that could be resolved strictly between the city of Poway and Dr. Moritz, and that the regional

48

A No. They were there at the request of the city of Poway.

Q Do you know why they were there?

A Because Mr. Sandrock was a convicted felon.

Q Was it your understanding that he was acting as an attorney at that point?

A It was my understanding that he was acting as a representative of Dr. Moritz. He made note when he came in that he was a retired attorney, trial attorney.

Q I'm going to hand you what I've marked as Exhibit 57. You mentioned earlier something about a conversation confirmer, I believe. Is this one of the conversation confirmer documents that you received from Danis Bechter?

A Yes.

16 Q And this is dated March 21, 2008?

A Yes.

(Exhibit 57 marked)

19 BY MR, SIMPSON:

Q Is it your understanding that Bill Moritz was asked to place BMPs at the toe of his fill?

A That's what this document states.

23 Q Do you know whether he did that?

A I believe he may have put a silt fence there.

Q Did you observe a silt fence when you were

51 49 there --1 What's the difference? 1 2 2 Erosion control BMPs are any sort of best Α Yes. 3 management practice which keeps soil in place during a 3 Q -- on June 9, 2008? 4 precipitation event. Sediment control BMPs are best 4 A I would have to see my inspection report to 5 refresh my memory, but I believe there was a silt fence 5 management practices that capture sediment after it's 6 there at the time. 6 become mobile during a precipitation event. 7 7 Q What sedimentation controls did you see on Q Sir, I'm going to hand you what I've marked 8 8 as Exhibit 58, consisting of two pages. That is your the property in August? 9 9 supporting document No. 5 from your recent prosecutorial A I saw three straw wattles, I saw a silt 10 fence. Those were the settlement control BMPs. 10 submittal. Is that your inspection report that you've 11 just mentioned? 11 Q When you were there in August, at that point 12 A It's not the complete inspection report. It 12 a cleanup and abatement order had already issued, right? 13 Correct. 13 is the first two pages of my inspection report. 14 And you recommended the addition of some 14 Q What pages are missing? 15 additional straw wattles and staking through the straw 15 A The photographic -- let's see. A location 16 wattles rather than on the sides of them, right? 16 map, two photographs that I obtained off of the 17 A I made note that the straw wattles had been 17 internet, aerial photographs off the internet of the 18 installed improperly and provided suggestions on how 18 Moritz property, a picture provided, which is now 19 Exhibit 53, and I believe a photo of the finished pipe 19 they could be appropriately installed. 20 that was provided by Danis Bechter. 20 Q And Caltrans guides are one of the methods by 21 21 which such straw wattles can properly be installed, (Exhibit 58 marked) 22 22 BY MR. SIMPSON: right? 23 23 Q A closeup of the northern end of the pipe is A Caltrans BMP manual is one. The CASQA storm 24 24 water manual BMP is another. the last photograph you've just mentioned? 25 It's one of the first photos I was provided 25 Can you provide the court reporter that 52 50 in the initial -- by Danis Bechter, after the pipe had 1 1 acronym? 2 2 Α CASQA is C-A-S-Q-A. I can't - California been installed. 3 3 Sir, I'm going to hand you what I've marked I can't remember. 4 as Exhibit 59. Can you tell me whether that is your 4 The acronym is fine. Sorry. 5 Did you ever go back to determine whether the 5 complete inspection report from the June 9, 2008 sediment controls were changed following that 6 6 inspection? 7 7 A Yes. August 28th, 2008 meeting? 8 8 (Exhibit 59 marked) Α No. 9 Did you hear from any source whether they 9 BY MR. SIMPSON: Q 10 Q Did you observe a silt fence as of your 10 were? 11 I don't recall. 11 June 9, 2008 inspection? Α 12 (Recess taken) 12 Yes, I did. 13 What about other sedimentary erosion control 13 BY MR. SIMPSON: 14 BMPs? Did you see any? 14 Q Sir, I'm going to hand you Exhibit 60. Is 15 15 that the proposed or tentative cleanup and abatement Α No. 16 order, R-9-2008-0152? 16 How many times have you been out to observe 17 17 A Yes. my client's property? 18 18 (Exhibit 60 marked) Α Twice. 19 BY MR. SIMPSON: The other time was August 29, 2008? 19 Q 20 20 Did you draft this? Α 21 When you were out there in August of 2008, 21 Q Α Yes. 22 And is this reviewed by Ms. Clemente? 22 did you see erosion control BMPs? 0 23 Α 23 Α 24 Did you see sediment control BMPs? 24 Q And it's been reviewed by the executive Q 25 25 officer as well? Α Yes.

- A It was not reviewed by Ms. Clemente because she was out sick at the time this was drafted.
 - Q Who else has reviewed this within the regional board before making this part of the February 11, 2009 hearing submittals?
 - A I believe Jeremy Haus, the acting supervisor of the compliance and assurance unit took a look at it, and David Barker, and Mike McCann.
 - Q Turning back to the requirements of the proposed cleanup and abatement order, I think it's Page 4, underneath the language it is hereby ordered, do you see that?
 - A Yes.

- Q Is this where the regional board prescribes what is going to be ordered if this cleanup and abatement order issues?
- A These are the directives of the cleanup and abatement order.
- Q Those are directives numbers 1 through 5, correct?
- A Yes.
- Q Now, No. 3 has a number of subcategories, Athrough F. Do you see those?
 - A Yes
- 25 Q Are those the specific measures that you

A Yes.

б

- Q That's one of the requirements of this tentative cleanup and abatement order, right?
 - A Yes.
- Q Likewise, another requirement is restoring elevations of the stream channel bottom and the banks and floodplain to pre-discharge conditions, correct?
 - A Yes.
- Q And that specifies the design of the stream must meet the preexisting design from back in 2005, right?
 - A Not exactly, no.
- Q So this has nothing to do with the design of the stream?
 - A Could you rephrase the question?
- Q Okay. Well, let's look at Item No. 3C here. It says realigning the stream channel to its pre-discharge location. One of the things in the tentative cleanup and abatement order here that the regional board would require is realigning of the stream channel to the pre-discharge location, right?
 - A Right
- Q So it's specifying the design of the restored stream to require it to not only be aligned where it was before, but also for it to have the same elevations that

- propose that the regional board adopt and require of theMoritzes?
 - A Those are the activities required to restore the stream to its pre-project condition.
 - Q When you say pre-project condition, are you referring to the condition that it existed in 2005? Or in the condition it existed after the Witch Creek fires, after the winter storms, and before he did any work in February 2008?
 - A It would generally have to be 2005, because I don't believe any topographic information is available for 2007. So as of 2005 as it said in the amended stream restoration plan that was provided by Dr. Moritz.
 - Q So you believe that, and by putting this Item No. 3 in the cleanup and abatement order, tentative cleanup and abatement order, you believe that Bill Moritz is going to have to restore the stream to the same elevations that it existed in 2005?
 - A I'd have to look at the restoration plan again. He needs to do it as it was proposed. It won't be exactly, but it will be somewhere close.
 - Q Well, Item No. 3A, for example, says the first thing, paraphrasing, he needs to remove the sand, silt, clay, rock and other earthen materials discharged to the waters of the state. Do you see that?

- it did before, right?
- A As proposed in the 2008 September 18, 2008 amended stream restoration plan.
- Q Where does it say the September 2008 amended stream restoration plan?
- A In directive 2.
 - Q Okay. That's a separate directive from
- 8 No. 3, correct?
 - A Correct.
 - Q In Item No. 3, it says independently of Item No. 2 that the order requirements of the Moritzes would be, among other things, to realign the stream to its pre-discharge location, and also to restore the elevation of the channel bottom, banks and floodplain to pre-discharge locations, correct?
 - A Correct.
 - Q So it's not just incorporating the stream restoration plan that was proposed in September 2008, but it's going beyond that and specifying specifically in Item No. 3 the design of the stream, right?

MR. LEON: Object, because there hasn't been a foundation laid with respect to the nature of the requirements under the 2008 amended stream restoration plan and the distinctions that that might have with the requirements set forth in No. 3. And it's argumentative

1 and assumes facts that are not in evidence.

BY MR. SIMPSON:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

O You can answer.

The intent of directive 3 is to restore the stream to its pre-project impacts, pre-project configuration.

Q In other words, part of the RWQCB, if it adopts this, is telling the Moritzes how to restore the stream by specifying the design. And that design is the preexisting condition, right?

Α Not exactly, no.

O How does it differ?

Α We are requesting that the stream be restored, and then elements of the restoration that are necessary to have that happen, to have the stream restored to its pre-project configuration are here. How that's to be done -- we're not prescribing how it's to be done. We're prescribing what's required to restore the creek.

Q When you're saying you're not prescribing how it's to be done, you mean that he can use buildozers versus shovels? That's his choice? What do you mean?

A I mean, yes, the way he goes about it is up to him.

> Q But the design must be the same design as it

59

I'm going to hand you what I've marked as Exhibit 61 and ask you if you can tell me what that is. Let me ask it this way. Do you recognize this as -- a figure as part of the stream restoration plan that was a proposal for sediment control?

A It looks similar to that. I can't say for sure that this, with this writing on here, if it is a part of the plan. I'd have to see the final stream bed plan again to confirm that. It does look familiar.

(Exhibit 61 marked)

BY MR. SIMPSON:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

q

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Do you know whether the fiber rolls that were identified in this particular exhibit, 61, were ever installed at the site?

A I don't know.

O Do you know whether they were installed -well, strike that.

The regional board approved the stream restoration plan that Dr. Moritz submitted in September 2008, right?

Α We reviewed it and we found it to be acceptable.

Is that different from approving it? Q

I would think so, yes.

What's the difference?

58

existed before he did any work, correct?

A That's the point, yes.

Q For example, he must remove the drainage pipe, right?

Α Yes.

Do you have any understanding whether that drainage pipe still is in the ground?

A I believe portions of it are still in the ground.

Do you know whether the portions that are still in the ground are in the former ephemeral stream bed?

I'm unable to see underground, so I can't tell you exactly where they are. But from diagrams provided by Dr. Moritz's consultants in the amended stream restoration plan, I would assume that good portions of the pipe are placed within the stream bed.

Q Do you know what portions have been removed?

Α

Q Do you know whether portions have been removed?

A I believe that during the city of Poway's work on the project, from what I understand, some or a portion of the pipe was encountered when they were doing their work, and that was removed.

60

A Approval to me means that we are giving a stamp saying absolutely, this is the way to do it. Acceptance means we've looked at it and it will meet the goals that we had intended.

What were the goals that the RWQCB intended?

6 To restore the creek as closely as possible 7 to what was there before and put back the functions and 8 the hydrological and ecological functions that the stream had prior to.

Q Is it your understanding -- I'm sorry. I didn't mean to walk on your answer.

I'm done.

Was it your understanding that there was going to be a remedy at the upgradient end of the pipe to prevent it from conveying storm water from the northern portion of the property to the southern?

A I don't quite understand your question.

On Exhibit 61, it mentions at the top "plug entrance to culvert with Portland cement concrete." Do you see that?

A Yes.

Was part of the concern of the regional board the functioning of that particular pipe, in an effort to disable it?

I believe that during our August 29th

б

meeting, yes, I did -- I did show -- express some
 concern about plugging that pipe and utilizing the next
 door neighbor's property as a sedimentation basin, yes.

- Q And there was also discussion about a sedimentation basin on the southern end of the pipe?
 - A We did discuss that.
- Q Do you know whether the city of Poway approved work on either end of that pipe so that Bill Moritz could proceed with the construction?
 - A I don't know.
- Q Do you know what steps are necessary in order for a homeowner such as Bill Moritz to obtain approval to do that type of work?
- A Are we talking specifically about plugging the culvert?
 - Q Yes.

A Well, I would assume that Dr. Moritz would need to get permission from his next door neighbor, since he would be -- since he built that portion on his neighbor's property, and that he was intending to use -- by plugging the culvert at the northern end of the property, he was intending to allow his neighbor's property to act as a sedimentation basin.

And now do you want me to address the silt fence at the bottom here?

order that was proposed?

A No

- Q How about in the preparation of the previous cleanup and abatement order?
 - A No.

Q Do you know whether the regional board, in setting its water quality objectives, took into account any economic considerations?

MR. LEON: I'm going to object to that as irrelevant and not likely to lead to any relevant information. It doesn't have anything to do with the case.

THE WITNESS: And I don't understand your question.

BY MR. SIMPSON:

- Q What are the water quality objectives for this particular area?
- A The water I'm the water quality objectives for that would be contained in the basin plan. And off the top of my head, I can't provide you with every water quality objective necessary.
- Q Do water quality objectives have anything to do with Bill Moritz's cleanup and abatement order that you're proposing here?
 - A Mr. Moritz's actions affected the beneficial

- Q No.
- 2 A No?
 - Q Do you know how much the stream restoration plan cost?
 - A I know -- not for a fact, no.
 - Q Do you know how much permitting for performing work described in the stream restoration plan would cost?
 - A I know how much -- well, if a 401 certification was necessary to do the restoration work, that would cost \$500. I'm unaware of city of Poway fees for getting the grading permit that would be required to do the restoration work, and I'm not exactly sure how much fish and game is charging right now for a stream bed alteration agreement.
 - Q How about the engineering costs in order to prepare the plans that would be acceptable for the city of Poway? Any idea what that would cost?
 - A No. I don't.
 - Q Did economic considerations play any part in the analysis of the cleanup and abatement order that you're proposing?
 - A No.
- Q Did you ever consider economic considerations of the Moritzes in preparing the cleanup and abatement

- uses of the water, it affected the hydrological and ecological function of the stream, and in that way, yes, Mr. Moritz's actions have removed the beneficial uses throughout that stretch of his creek that the basin plan provides for.
- Q Now, it's acceptable, is it not, for individuals to change the quality of water to some degree even though it might affect beneficial uses?

MR. LEON: Calls for a legal conclusion to some extent. It's argumentative and perhaps asks the witness to speculate.

THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question, please?

BY MR. SIMPSON:

- Q It's possible for people such as Dr. Moritz to change the quality of water without unreasonably affecting beneficial uses, right?
 - A I don't understand the question.
- Q Do you know what the quality of water is, storm water is, as it enters onto Bill Moritz's property in a rain event?
 - A No.
- Q Never measured it?
- 24 A No, I have not.
 - Q Do you know whether the regional board has

1 ever done any inspection or test by which it could 2 determine the quality of the water as it enters onto 3 Bill Moritz's property during a rain event?

A I don't know.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

How about as it -- as water comes off of the Q property? Has the regional board ever done any inspection or test to determine the quality of water as it exits the Moritz property?

A Only that I have seen pictures of the property by the city of Poway putting in their interim BMPs. I have seen it during a rain event, a picture of it.

What did you conclude based upon the picture? Q

Α That water was going across his property, there was some sediment in it from upstream.

Q Did you make any determination whether the water quality was degraded as it exited his property?

A I don't have enough information to make that determination.

Because you don't have any inspection or Q tests, right?

A To my knowledge, there -- I have conducted no tests or investigations as to constituents contained in storm water crossing Dr. Moritz's property.

Do you believe that the Moritz property, as

67

Q The historical photographs you're talking about are four in number?

A I've looked at a lot of historical photos, on the web and that I've purchased from -- or the state has purchased from historicaerials.com. I didn't purchase all the ones I looked at.

Q Are those part of the record?

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A Those are part one of - the photos I purchased is part of the evidence package I provided to you. I can't tell you what supporting document it is. It's supporting document one.

Q And one of those is an aerial photograph from 1964, correct?

A Correct. I also purchased an aerial photo from 2003. I have aerial photos provided by the city of Poway during 2005, and aerial photos that were provided in the first inspection report that I got off of a mapping web site.

Q Getting back to Exhibit 60, the cleanup and abatement order that is proposed, paragraph No. 2, maybe you can take a moment and look at that briefly. I'm not going to go through the whole thing, but a couple sentences.

MR. LEON: You're talking about finding No. 2, Counsel?

66

it existed in August 2008, threatened to degrade water quality?

Α I don't know.

O How about as his property exists today? Do you believe that his property as it exists today threatens water quality?

Α Potentially.

Q How?

Α The fill that's been placed in the stream bed has altered the hydrology of that ephemeral drainage, it's removed any ecological benefit that the prior ephemeral drainage may have contained.

When you say ecological benefit, what do you Q mean?

Landscape connectivity, connecting upper -you basically removed the ability of the ephemeral drainage to transport sediment, transport nutrients, remove - pollutant removal. It's hard to say if the ephemeral drainage provided any cover for animals or birds, because I'm not able to tell you exactly what the creek looked like prior to him filling it in. I can only go by historical photos that I've seen.

Q Do you have - and the historical photographs you're talking about are four in number?

Pardon?

68

MR. SIMPSON: Well, it's paragraph No. 2,

2 but -- it doesn't say finding No. 2.

3 MR. LEON: Page 1? 4

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

5 MR. SIMPSON: Yeah. Page 1.

б THE WITNESS: Yes. Okay.

BY MR. SIMPSON:

Q It begins there on or about February 7, Dr. Bill Moritz discharged waste. Do you see that?

Α

O The conclusion or the finding that's made there, is that based on communications from the city of

A It's based on communications from the city of Poway, conversation we had with Sean Marsden the first time we were there, I believe. And if my memory serves me correctly, that is what Stuart Sandrock told us at the first June 9th meeting.

Do you know whether he said that?

Not for sure. I seem to recall it. I seem to recall requesting that information -- exact information from him, but I don't know if I ever got the answer back.

Q You made a request to him in writing, didn't you, for an estimate as to the volume of waste?

- 1 Α Mm-hmm
- 2 Q And the dates when it was placed?
 - Α

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

б

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- And you don't know whether you ever got a Q response to that?
- A I don't believe he ever fully answered the question.
- So the information that is in finding No. 2 comes from the city of Poway and from Sean Marsden, correct?
 - Α Yes.
- Q It says discharged waste. Now, when you say "waste," what is your understanding of the meaning of that term as an enforcement officer for the RWQCB?
- That is the discharge of the dredge and fill material -- well, the fill material that Dr. Moritz imported onto his site and placed in the stream.
- Q What do you base the conclusion that fill material is waste?

MR. LEON: Calls for a legal conclusion. BY MR. SIMPSON:

- You can answer.
- I base it on that the discharge of fill material into waters of the state either requires a 401 certification or waste discharge requirements, and thus

71

- that a jurisdictional delineation has not been done. So 1
- 2 it's -- it could potentially be waters of the U.S. under
- 3 federal jurisdiction. It could not.
 - We just don't know as we sit here today?
 - Right. I think it would take a forensic jurisdictional determination to determine that.
 - Q Okay. If indeed there is no assertion of United States jurisdiction, then is the dumping of fill material in the ephemeral stream area waste?
 - Yes. Α

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

22

23

24

25

1

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 11 Why?
 - Because it's still a waters of the state, and the fill material could be considered a waste that's been discharged into the stream.
 - Q And you're basing that on definitions in the California water code of waste and waters of the state?
- 17 A I'm basing it on my experience in doing 401 18 water quality certifications and the issuance of waste 19 discharge requirements for dredge or fill material into 20 waters that are not considered by the federal government 21 to be waters of the United States.
 - Q Setting aside 401 certification, if we're not going to have jurisdiction of the United States, then aren't we just determining whether we have waste in waters of the state?

70

- fill or material placed into a waters of the U.S. is -1 2 can be considered waste.
- 3 Q Now, if -- you're an enforcement officer for 4 the RWQCB. And one of the things that you do is see whether the facts violate the law, correct? 5
 - Α Yes.
 - Q That's part of your job duty, right?
- 8 Α
 - Now, as far as this stream is concerned, have you heard from any source that the United States is asserting jurisdiction over that particular ephemeral stream?
 - Α
 - Have you determined from any source whether the United States can assert jurisdiction over that ephemeral stream in light of existing precedent?
 - It's possible.
 - Have you heard that the United States is not going to assert any jurisdiction over that ephemeral stream?
 - A I have heard from Robert Smith at the Army Corps of Engineers, and through you, that the Army Corps is overwhelmed right now, and I believe in an e-mail that I can't remember the date of, they offered for your client to accept jurisdiction, which you declined, but

72

- Α
- 2 Q And that's the question of whether there are 3 going to be WDRs issued under 13260? 4
 - That would be an option, yes.
- ts it your understanding that the terms waste 5 6 and waters of the state are defined by water code 7 section 13050?
 - A I believe so, yes.
- 9 And that's what you mention here on Item 10 No. 4, among other things, of Exhibit 60?
 - Α
 - 13050E of the California water code doesn't actually include ephemeral streams as a water course by definition, does it?
 - A I don't have it in front of me, so I can't tell you exactly what it says.
 - Q I don't have an extra copy to give you, but the definition I see in the code section in front of me, 13050 says, quote, waters of the states, unquote, means any surface water or ground water including saline waters within the boundaries of the state. Is that your understanding of the phrase of the definition of waters of the state?
 - Α Yes.
 - And how is it that this ephemeral stream that

III

73 75 is dry most of the time is water of the state? 1 1 BY MR. SIMPSON: 2 2 A Well, they used to be considered waters of Q What did he say about that? 3 the U.S., and waters of the U.S. is a subset of waters 3 I believe I saw the -- I did see the original 4 of the state. The definition provided in Porter-Cologne 4 stream bed alteration agreement application that he 5 of waters of the state paints a very broad brush, and it 5 provided to fish and game where he wrote that there was 6 would include ephemeral drainages. 6 a storm water component to his project. 7 7 Q How do you know that? Q Do you know what the watershed is that Я MR. LEON: I'm going to object. This is 8 involves the property where this ephemeral stream is 9 getting way too deep into legal issues. He testified 9 that crosses my client's property? Bad question, but -10 that he has a B.S. in environmental sciences. He has 10 It's hydrologic sub area 906.20. 11 not testified that he has a law degree or that he ever 11 And you find that in the cleanup and 12 went to law school. The line of question you're 12 abatement order? 13 13 pursuing clearly is something that could be addressed in Α Yes. 14 briefing to the board, and it seems like a waste of time 14 Q Item No. 9? 15 15 to move much more with this. Α Yes. 16 BY MR. SIMPSON: 16 Q What is the receiving body of water, if any? 17 Q Let me ask you this, then. I don't normally 17 Receiving body of water of what? Α 18 just go on, but well taken. Is there a policy to --18 Q Of this ephemeral drainage. 19 within the regional board to protect ephemeral streams 19 Α It is an unnamed tributary to Rattlesnake 20 as though they were flowing streams using the 13304 20 Creek. 21 cleanup and abatement order process or the WDR process? 21 O And Rattlesnake Creek leads to what? 22 A Could you repeat the question? 22 Α Rattlesnake Creek comes down and meets Poway 23 Is there a policy at the regional board to 23 Creek. 24 24 protect ephemeral streams even though they aren't Q And then Poway Creek goes where? 25 25 typically flowing? To Los Penasquitos Creek. 74 76 1 Could you define what you mean by a policy? 1 Q And then that goes where? 2 Have you been trained or told that part of 2 Ultimately to Los Penasquitos Lagoon. Α 3 your job duty is to protect ephemeral streams that don't 3 And the ocean? Q 4 typically flow by issuing or requiring the issuance of 4 Α And then to the ocean. 5 WDRs or cleanup and abatement orders? 5 Is the Los Penasquitos Lagoon the receiving 6 Yes. 6 body of water of this ephemeral stream ultimately? 7 7 Do you know what that policy or procedure is A Yes. 8 that you've been told about? 8 Do you believe that the condition of the 9 A You asked if I was trained. And when I began 9 Moritz property as it exists today significantly affects 10 doing 401 certifications, I absolutely was told and 10 the water quality of that or any receiving body of 11 trained to protect ephemeral drainages, and protect --11 water? 12 and protect them like I would any other perennial or 12 Α Yes. 13 13 intermittent stream. Q In what way? 14 And how about waste? Have you been trained 14 It's part of the watershed. It's a head 15 that fill that somebody actually wants to have on their 15 water stream. It is imbued with the same beneficial 16 property, the regional board will view as waste? 16 uses that Rattlesnake Creek has, and it's part of the 17 A If it's placed in a stream, yes. 17 watershed. In a watershed context, every ephemeral 18 Did Bill Moritz ever communicate with you his 18 stream, every tributary, every larger order stream 19 belief that he needed to put the pipe on his property in 19 contributes to the health, ultimately, of the watershed 20 order to protect his property from storm water flows 20 and the receiving waters in the watershed. 21 from upstream? 21 Q Can you quantify the effect that the Moritz 22 22 A That is one of the -property has on the receiving body of water? 23 23 MR. LEON: Just answer the question. A No. 24 THE WITNESS: Yes. 24 Do you know how large the watershed is that

25

ultimately leads to that receiving body of water?

Q

Do you know whether that exceeds a thousand

79 77 acres? 1 Α No. 1 2 2 Any idea of the -- well, of the A I do not. 3 Could you identify any aquatic species that 3 characteristics of the water quality as it leaves or enters the Moritz property currently? 4 are dependent on the native sediment on the Moritz 4 5 5 property? Α No. 6 So what you've told me is that you believe, 6 Α Aquatic species? Q 7 7 based on your own belief, that this property nonetheless Q Yes. 8 8 Α No. affects the receiving body of water significantly? 9 9 Q I'm looking at Item No. 5 here, and one of MR. LEON: Objection. Assumes - rather, 10 the concerns that's been raised is the threatened 10 mischaracterizes the witness's testimony. It is 11 habitat for aquatic species that are dependent on native 11 argumentative. 12 12 sedimentation and vegetation. Do you see that? THE WITNESS: The ephemeral stream that once 13 13 existed on Dr. Moritz's property contributes over -- at Where do you see that? 14 14 one time when it was there contributed to water quality Last sentence of Paragraph 5. 15 It could be aquatic macroinvertebrates that 15 within the whole watershed. 16 BY MR. SIMPSON: 16 are brought down from the watershed above, above into 17 17 Q Do you believe if something were to be done the stream. 18 on his property that it would even be measurable at the 18 Q Any idea of any species that were ever there? 19 19 Α Of aquatic species? receiving body of water? 20 20 Q Yes. A That's a vague question, because I don't --21 No. 21 you're not specifying what it is we're measuring or what Α 22 constituent or what water quality parameter you're 22 Q That's just speculation? 23 23 It's more of a general observation about wanting to measure. 24 effects of the discharge of waste on water bodies. 24 Q Well, one of the things that the Regional 25 Not based on this particular instance, 25 Water Quality Control Board is concerned about is 78 80 1 1 turbidity, right? 2 A The stream - the ephemeral stream is given 2 Α In some cases, yes. 3 beneficial uses through our basin plan of warm --3 Q is that a concern about turbidity here? 4 which -- how do I say this. There's the potential that 4 Α Turbidity could be a concern, yes. 5 there could be aquatic species in there at the time that 5 Q How about color or sediment load? 6 Α б water is flowing through the stream and that it could 7 Q Do you believe that the effect of the Moritz 7 help move these down to a more permanent -- not 8 property could actually be measured at the receiving 8 permanent, but a larger stream. There's the possibility 9 body of water? q that aquatic species can travel through the ephemeral 10 MR. LEON: Vague as to which receiving body 10 stream. 11 11 of water. Q So it's speculative here, but based on 12 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, it could be measured. experience at other ephemeral streams? 13 BY MR. SIMPSON: 13 A It's speculative here because I don't know 14 Q How would you do that? 14 except from photographs what the stream looked like 15 prior to Dr. Moritz's activities. 15 With a turbidity meter, if turbidity is the 16 Q I'm going to hand you what I've marked as 16 constituent you're talking about. If it was a pH, maybe 17 Exhibit 62. And that's -- well, you tell me what that 17 a pH meter. 18 is. And I'm sorry. I only have one copy at the moment. 18 Any idea of the sensitivity of such meters? Q 19 A That's an application for a stream bed 19 It depends on how much money you're willing 20 alteration agreement from the California Department of 20 to spend on the meter. 21 Fish and Game. 21 Q Do you know how many thousands of acres are 22 22 in the watershed that leads to the lagoon that you've (Exhibit 62 marked) 23 mentioned? 23 BY MR. SIMPSON: 24 24 Now, the last four or five pages there, or Α No.

25

toward the end anyway, have a written description of

83 81 Department of Fish and Game had approved the requested 1 events. Do you see that? 1 2 stream bed alteration? 2 Yes. 3 Α Yes. 3 O In earlier testimony you testified about some 4 What did she say? 4 of the things that Dr. Moritz mentioned to you. Is that O 5 notification of stream bed alteration a document he 5 She had not. 6 6 Did she say anything about what her next provided you with? 7 steps were as far as that plan is concerned? 7 A He did not provide me with this. Did Kelly Fisher provide you with that? 8 That exhibit right there you're referring to? 8 Q 9 9 Q Α At some point, yes. 10 I believe that she wrote back to him saying 10 Q Was that in approximately June or July of 2008? 11 it was incomplete. 11 12 12 Do you know whether that was before or after Yes. Α 13 the pipe went in? 13 Was it after the cleanup and abatement order, 14 A I would say it was after. 14 original cleanup and abatement order had issued? 15 Q Do you know whether there was some event that 15 Α I don't recall. 16 led Bill Moritz to believe that he had the authority to 16 Q The date of that is May 14, 2008. Do you see that? 17 17 put the pipe in? 18 A I know what his and your written evidence 18 Α Yes. 19 Is it your understanding from having spoken 19 states, he -- I know the circumstances surrounding, yes. 20 with Kelly Fisher or from having communicated with Bill 20 Q Is it your understanding that he believed 21 when told to fix the problem on May 19, 2008, that he 21 Moritz that he attempted to get permission to alter the 22 stream bed? 22 understood that to mean that he must get that pipe in? 23 A That's what he has alleged. I can't know 23 A I am aware that he submitted this application 24 what was in his mind. 24 with a check to the fish -- Department of Fish and Game. 25 Item No. 8 of Exhibit 60, if I could refer 25 Did he ever tell you that he understood he 82 84 had the permission of the Department of Fish and Game to 1 you back to that cleanup and abatement order that's 1 proposed, that mentions violation of discharge 2 2 proceed with the work? 3 prohibitions in the water quality control plan for the A I believe in some of his or Mr. Sandrock's 3 written and your written correspondence that yes, he has 4 basin plan. Do you see that? 4 5 stated that he thought he had permission. Α Yes. 6 Q And as I understand it, you've got three 6 Have you seen notification of stream bed 7 concerns, and those are items numbered 1, 3 and 14 under 7 alterations in the past? Yes. 8 section 8? 8 Α Do they tend to look like Exhibit 62 or 9 A Yes. 9 Q Q I'm going to hand you what has been marked as 10 something different? 10 11 Exhibit 63, which contains some highlighting to try to 11 A The form is similar. I don't know if they've 12 make this go a little bit more quickly. 12 changed it lately. But yeah, that's the form that 13 people fill out when they want to obtain a stream bed 13 Other than the highlighting, do you recognize 14 alteration agreement. 14 this as excerpts of the basin plan, and particularly 15 Q When you've seen stream bed alteration 15 Chapter 3, Water Quality Objectives, of the basin plan? 16 A Yes. 16 agreements and notification of stream bed alterations, have you - have they looked more detailed than you see 17 (Exhibit 63 marked) 17 18 18 BY MR. SIMPSON: in Exhibit 62? 19 Q You'll note that this is not a complete copy 19 A Some have. Some not. It's dependent on the 20 20 of that chapter, but rather select pages. complexity of the project being proposed. 21 21 Mm-hmm. Q How complex would you view this particular 22 Is the chart that's about four or five pages 22 stream bed alteration proposal to be as compared to 23 others in general? 23 back, the chart for surface water, water quality 24 24 objectives, for my client's property? Not very complex. 25 Yes. 25 Did you talk to Kelly Fisher about whether Α

85 And we know that because it's hydrological 1 2 unit basin No. 6.20? 3 Α Correct.

Now, there are temporary exceedances that are allowed from these particular numbers that are in the table, correct?

A Yes. Concentrations not to be exceeded more than 10 percent of the time during any one-year period.

Q As we sit here today, does the regional board have any evidence that there were any exceedances of any of these constituents of concern or these water quality objectives, rather, at any time?

A Not that I - not that I know of.

14 The next table in order, Table 3.3 of 15 Exhibit 63, refers to ground water. Do you see that 16 table?

Α

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Q Does the regional board have ground water concerns as regards my client's property, or is it surface water only?

Α I don't know.

How about you as an enforcement officer for the Regional Water Quality Control Board? Do you have concerns about whether my client's property is affecting ground water?

87

1 A I would say that the natural sediment load 2 that could have been taken from your client's property, 3 distributed downstream, was removed when he encased the 4 stream -- well, when he diverted the drainage on his 5 property through a pipe. There's also the potential of 6 the sediment load going way over the natural sediment 7 load than existed in the stream prior to impact due to 8 the amounts of fill that were imported into the property 9 and the potential for them to erode and discharge into 10 downstream water bodies.

> Q As you sit here today, do you have any evidence that that occurred?

Anecdotal evidence.

From whom?

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

21

22

23

24

25

15 Α From the complaint issued by the city of 16 Poway.

Is the complaint issued by the city of Poway something that Danis Bechter gave to you?

A I believe Lisa Foster gave it to me.

Q Do you know one way or another whether those are true, or do you accept them as true?

A laccept them as true.

23 Have you ever seen Bill Moritz's

24 cross-complaint?

No.

86

I'm not sure where the water table resides on your client's property. I'm not sure what kind of aquifer, if any, or storage capacity is available undemeath the ground on your client's property. There is a potential that the removal of the stream and placement of a pipe could potentially affect ground water recharge.

Q As we sit here today, does the regional board or you, as its enforcement officer, have any concerns about the effect of ground water given what's occurred on my client's property?

A I don't know.

Is there anyone at the regional board, to your knowledge, that knows more about the effects of my client and his property as far as it affects water quality, other than you?

A Potentially.

Q Who knows more - do you think might know who do you think might know more than you?

About water quality?

21 Q At my client's site.

22 Α I don't know.

23 Do you or the regional board have any

24 evidence as to whether the sediment load was changed by 25

any of my client's conduct?

88

Q Do you know today whether the site is stabilized as far as erosion control and sediment control is concerned?

A From the photographs I've seen of the abatement work that was performed by the city of Poway, so far to date those BMPs seem to be preventing erosion and discharge of sediment off-site from your client's

Is it your understanding the city of Poway under that clean - or under an abatement order strike that.

Is it your understanding that the city of Poway, under an abatement warrant, went in and installed some BMPs?

Α Yes.

16 What did you understand they did? 17 MR. LEON: Excuse me. Before you get too 18 much into it, I just want to check things out about how 19 you're feeling. 20

MR. SIMPSON: Lunchtime? Why don't we go ahead and take a break.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I could use a break. MS. FOSTER: How long are we going to take? I'm hoping short so we can keep going.

THE WITNESS: A half hour?

89 91 e-mail address on our web site would be received. 1 MR. SIMPSON: How about 45 minutes because, 1 2 2 practically speaking, it will be 45 minutes anyway. Q Do you know one way or the other? 3 3 MR. LEON: It's 12:30 now, so back at 1:15. A I don't. 4 4 Q I'm going to show you Exhibit 66. 5 (LUNCHEON RECESS) 5 MR. LEON: Was there a 65? 6 6 MS. FOSTER: The last one was 64. 7 7 MR. SIMPSON: I'm sorry. 8 8 **EXAMINATION CONTINUED** Q What I'm handing you, then, I'll mark as 9 BY MR. SIMPSON: 9 Exhibit 65. I'll take that back and use that one next. 10 Q Sir, I'm going to hand you what is apparently 10 Is what I've just handed you as Exhibit 65 the document 11 an e-mail, and it's from your file. It looks like 11 that you understood that Bill Moritz believed that he Exhibit 64 is next. Do you see Exhibit 64? 12 12 had sent earlier? 13 13 A Yes. A Yes. 14 14 (Exhibit 65 marked) (Exhibit 64 marked) 15 BY MR. SIMPSON: 15 BY MR. SIMPSON: 16 Q And you recognize that as something from the 16 Q And this is an e-mail that discusses his 17 17 **RWQCB file?** understanding that he had the authority to do contour 18 Yes. 18 grading and landscape work? Α 19 Q You know that because of the highlighter? 19 MR. LEON: Objection. Just a little 20 Α 20 difficulty with respect to the document. You call it an 21 21 e-mail, but it looks like something other than an Q Is this something that you got or you saw 22 before? 22 e-mail. For example, a Word document. Can you tell us 23 A Yes. It's an e-mail that I wrote, so I'm 23 why that is? Or do you have anything that you need to 24 24 do to clarify the record on that? familiar with it. 25 Take a moment, if you would, and familiarize 25 MR. SIMPSON: Yeah. 90 92 1 yourself with it. I've got questions about an e-mail 1 Q Let me hand you Exhibit 66, and maybe that 2 that Bill Moritz sent or didn't send to regional board. 2 will help shed light on that. 3 3 MR. LEON: Thank you. À (Nods head.) 4 Q Are you aware of an issue between - I want 4 (Exhibit 66 marked) 5 to say between you and Bill Moritz as to whether he had 5 BY MR. SIMPSON: Q Take a moment, if you would, and look at 66 6 sent Mike McCann an e-mail earlier than this? 6 7 I corresponded with Dr. Moritz regarding the 7 in conjunction with 65. 8 e-mail that he sent - he said he sent to a Mike at the 8 Have you had a moment to look -9 regional board. q Α Yes. 10 Did you ever get to the bottom of that? 10 Q -- at Exhibit 66? 11 11 I attempted to find out if either of the Α Yes. 12 12 staff members named Mike at our regional board ever Q Is it your understanding that he believed he 13 received the e-mail in question. 13 sent you an e-mail that is -- the contents of which are 14 Q And what did they say? 14 contained in Exhibit 65? 15 Neither Mike McCann nor Michael Porter had 15 Α Yes. 16 And Exhibit 65, of course, is a Word document 16 any recollection of ever receiving the e-mail. They Q 17 both checked their e-mail accounts and showed no sign of 17 but not an e-mail, but that's an attachment that he had 18 it having been sent in the time frame he said it was 18 sent to you? 19 19 Yes. sent. Α 20 Do you guys have a filtering system that 20 Given that, is it your understanding that filters out e-mails? 21 21 Bill Moritz believed that he had the authority to do 22 A I don't know. 22 grading and landscaping on his property? 23 Q Do you know whether all e-mails that are sent 23 MR. LEON: I'm going to object that it calls 24 to you are always received? 24 for speculation about what Mr. Moritz's state of mind is 25 25 I would assume that e-mails sent through an or was at that time.

of the federal government.

95 93 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question, 1 Do you have any understanding as to whether 1 2 2 those conditional waivers were created as a consequence please? 3 BY MR. SIMPSON: 3 of a directive from the governor? Q Is it your understanding that Bill Moritz 4 Yes. 4 Α 5 5 told you that he had the authority of the city of Poway Q Is it your understanding that those 6 to do contour grading and landscaping? 6 conditional waivers were created as part of the 7 A That's what he states in the letter. 7 governor's effort to suspend certain requirements for 8 obtaining WDRs, for example? 8 Q He also mentions that he believed that he had 9 A Could you repeat the question, please? the authority of a Department of Fish and Game warden to MR. SIMPSON: Could you do me that favor? 10 10 proceed with the work that he was doing as of the time 11 the game warden appeared on his property, right? 11 (Record read) 12 That's what the letter seems to indicate. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 Did you ever contact the Department of Fish 13 BY MR. SIMPSON: 14 and Game warden? 14 Q I'm going to hand you Exhibit 67. On Page 3, 15 15 No. 13, is that the provision by which you believe the Α No. 16 governor suspended certain requirements regarding WDRs 16 Q Why not? 17 temporarily as a result of the Witch Creek fires? 17 Α I didn't feel there was any need to. 18 A I'll have to read the document to get context 18 Q Would it make a difference to you if the 19 Department of Fish and Game warden said that putting a 19 here. 20 pipe in the stream was okay? 20 (Exhibit 67 marked) MR. LEON: Counsel, I'm going to ask you a 21 21 Α No. question about this document. Obviously it is what it 22 Q Why not? 22 is, and it probably will lead to a line of questioning 23 Α Because in my estimation the warden would be 23 24 24 that is more along the lines of legal interpretation, wrong. 25 And you'd still have water code provisions to 25 perhaps policy interpretation. Obviously, Mr. Means Q 94 96 1 will have information factually with respect to what the 1 2 A There would still be permits required from 2 office's protocol may have developed as a result of the 3 the Department of Fish and Game, from the city of Poway, 3 issuance of this document, but he probably won't have a 4 and potentially the Army Corps of Engineers. 4 lot of information, I'm just guessing, with respect to 5 5 Q Likewise, if Bill Moritz had the authority what transpired with respect to implementation from the 6 6 for performing the work that he had through the city of governor's office on down to manager. 7 7 Poway, would you believe that that still doesn't relieve MR. SIMPSON: I don't have a lot of questions 8 8 him of obligations under the water code to the Regional in here, but we can see where it goes. 9 9 Water Quality Control Board? MR. LEON: All right. THE WITNESS: Could you repeat the question 10 A Yes. 10 Because he still needs the permits that he 11 11 Q again? 12 12 BY MR. SIMPSON: would get through you guys, correct? 13 A Right. 13 Q Is it your understanding that paragraph 13 is 14 O And that would include WDRs? 14 the paragraph by which the governor suspended 15 Α WDRs or Section 401 water quality 15 requirements for such things as WDRs? 16 certification. 16 I don't know. Α 17 Was there a time after the Witch Creek fires 17 Q I'm going to show you two documents, 18 that the requirements for obtaining WDRs were suspended? 18 Exhibit 68, which is an Executive Officer Summary Report Not exactly. 19 dated November 14, 2007, and Exhibit 69, which is a 19 Α 20 Q What do you mean by "not exactly"? 20 Tentative Resolution R9-2007-0211. Take a moment, if 21 Α I know that the regional board, after the 21 you would, to familiarize yourself with those. And I'm 22 22 Witch Creek fires, Issued conditional waivers for fire going to ask some fairly broad questions. 23 related activities that may result in a discharge to 23 (Exhibits 68 and 69 marked) 24 isolated waters of the state, not under the jurisdiction 24 BY MR. SIMPSON:

25

Q Have you had the chance to look at those?

97 99 1 Α Yes. 1 waiver under this resolution. 2 BY MR. SIMPSON: 2 O Do you believe that Exhibits 68 and 69 are 3 the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board's 3 Q Why do you believe that he would not qualify? 4 method of implementing conditional waivers because of 4 A If you look at Page 6 of Exhibit 69, 6C, 5 5 the Witch Creek fires? which states "specific actions necessary to prevent or 6 A Yes. 6 mitigate an emergency. This does not include long-term 7 Q And I'll hand you Exhibits 70 and 71 as well. 7 projects undertaken for the purpose of preventing or 8 8 Exhibit 70 mitigating a situation that has a low probability of 9 THE REPORTER: Excuse me. Could we go off 9 occurrence in the short-term." In my mind, though I'm 10 the record? 10 not a lawyer, the project that Dr. Moritz did on his 11 (Off the record) 11 project --(Exhibits 70 and 71 marked) 12 12 MS. FOSTER: I'm sorry. Ours are not 13 BY MR. SIMPSON: 13 numbered, and so I'm not sure which document we're 14 Q Back on the record. 14 talking about here. 15 Sir, Exhibits 70 and 71, are they part of the 15 THE WITNESS: That would be tentative resolution R9-2007-0211. 16 effort of the regional board to implement the directive 16 17 of the governor to suspend WDRs? 17 MR. LEON: And what part are you reading 18 A I am not familiar with document 71, but I 18 from? 19 have no reason to believe that they aren't. 19 THE WITNESS: I'm reading from the general 20 Q Do you have any understanding about the 20 conditions, Section A. 6C. 21 period during which WDRs were suspended because of the 21 MR. LEON: Thank you. 22 Witch Creek fires? 22 BY MR. SIMPSON: 23 Yes. 23 Q You're talking about CEQA requirements, Α 24 24 Q What period? right? 25 Α During - as soon as the governor issued his 25 No. I'm talking about the fact that 100 98 1 emergency proclamation, which was -- or executive order, 1 Dr. Moritz was doing improvements to his property that 2 he stated so himself, he wanted to, you know, landscape, No. 67. 3 3 Q And for how long were they suspended? make - get better use out of his property. And in my 4 4 Α I don't know. mind, that's a long-term project that doesn't allow for Did you ever talk with Bill Moritz about the 5 a conditional waiver. 5 6 Q Dr. Moritz told you, didn't he, that after 6 possibility of his property not having WDRs issued 7 the Witch Creek fires there was a deluge of water that 7 because his property might qualify for the temporary 8 allowance to not have WDRs? 8 came down from a dam that broke or was taken down 9 9 upgradient? A No. 10 10 A Yes. Do you believe that his property did not ever 11 qualify for the relief that the governor proposed? 11 Q And he told you that trash and sediment and 12 MR. LEON: Objection. Calls for speculation. 12 debris ended up on his property? 13 13 Calls for a legal conclusion. Relevance is an issue A No. 14 14 with respect to whether this applies, and a foundation Did he tell you that because of the winter 15 15 hasn't been laid with respect to whether Mr. Moritz ever rains following the Witch Creek fires that he had 16 16 applied for a conditional permit under these sedimentation and also scouring on his property and deep 17 17 resolutions. gullies and erosion rails on his property? 18 THE WITNESS: I am not familiar with the 18 Α 19 19 Q Now, getting back to Exhibit 67, Item No. 13, resolution contained or discussed in Exhibit 71. I have 20 20 read through once the resolution contained in one of the things that the governor was requiring to be 21 suspended was regulations, rules, statutes pertaining to 21 Exhibit 69. And my reading of the tentative resolution 22 22 restoration, right? number R9-2007-0211, I would -- it would be my opinion 23 23 that he would most likely not be eligible for inclusion A I don't know. 24 under this conditional waiver. And I know of no other 24 Well, it says that restoration and related 25 25 activities, those statutes, rules are suspended, right? project that was done like Dr. Moritz's that obtained a

101 103 1 1 Α Are you talking about No. 13? A Yes. 2 2 (Exhibit 72 marked) 3 MR. LEON: Objection. Argumentative. The 3 BY MR. SIMPSON: document speaks for itself. I don't know what purpose 4 Q And did you read that in approximately the 4 5 it serves to have the witness repeat what the document 5 June 2008 time frame? 6 6 Yes. says. 7 7 MS. FOSTER: Can I see what document we are THE WITNESS: It does say that statutes, 8 8 rules, regulations and the requirements are suspended talking about? 9 MR. SIMPSON: Yeah. Sorry. I don't have an 9 only to the extent necessary for expediting the removal extra copy of it. I think you've seen it before as 10 and cleanup of debris from the disaster and for 10 11 11 implementing any restoration plan. well. 12 BY MR. SIMPSON: 12 MS. FOSTER: Probably so. 13 13 And related activities, right? BY MR. SIMPSON: Q 14 I didn't see that. 14 Q Sir, I'm going to hand you what I've marked Α 15 as Exhibit 73. Do you recognize that as Page 4 hyphen 15 Have you had any experience in 13269, water 16 code waivers from waste discharge requirements? 16 24 of the basin plan? 17 Α 17 A Yes. Q Have you ever heard of temporary waivers 18 (Exhibit 73 marked) 18 19 being given to folks to whom WDRs might apply under 19 BY MR. SIMPSON: 20 Q The --20 13269? 21 I can't say for sure that this is the exact 21 Α No. 22 Q Do you know that that possibility exists? 22 page from the basin plan. It looks like it. 23 Perhaps. I'm not sure. I'm not familiar 23 Q The highlighted portion there talks about 24 24 with the text of 13269. selection of appropriate enforcement action. Do you see 25 25 Q Are you generally familiar with the that? 104 102 1 1 possibility of conditional -- or of waivers, temporary Α 2 Q Were you trained to go through these steps 2 waivers, from the WDRs? 3 3 before selecting an appropriate enforcement action? Α Yes. You're familiar with that being 13269. You 4 A I have had enforcement training, but not on 4 Q just aren't familiar with the content of it as you sit 5 this particular page of the basin plan. 5 Q Now the basin plan is what the regional board 6 here today? 6 7 Α Right. 7 of San Diego prescribes for itself, right? 8 8 O You've seen the city of Poway's complaint, Yes. We developed it. 9 9 right? And staff proposes orders such as cleanup and 10 A I have seen a copy of it, yes. I believe 10 abatement orders such as the cleanup and abatement order 2008-152 in this case, right? 11 it's part of the evidence package that I supplied you. 11 12 12 Q And is it your understanding that the city of Α Yes. 13 Poway was demanding of the Moritzes that they restore 13 Q And then, if adopted, it becomes an order of 14 the stream just like the RWQCB? 14 the board. 15 A Yes. 15 A I would have to refresh my memory looking at 16 Q Has the regional board, looking at the first 16 17 bullet point, gathered evidence concerning the degree of 17 Q What is your understanding of what they are 18 water quality impairment involving the Moritz property? 18 requiring of the Moritzes? 19 A To the extent that it's necessary for this 19 A I would say their requirements are in line 20 action, yes. 20 with regional board's requirements; to remove the fill, remove the pipe, and restore the stream in line with the 21 Q What is the evidence concerning the degree of 21 22 restoration plan that Dr. Moritz developed. 22 water quality impairment? 23 Sir, I'm going to hand you Exhibit 72. Do 23 A There once was a stream on the site that had 24 24 beneficial uses and hydrological and ecological you recognize that as something that Dr. Moritz provided 25 functions, and it's gone. 25 to you?

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

105

- Q Okay. And how about the threat to the public health, including the degree of toxicity of the discharge? What evidence does it have in those regards? Same thing?
 - A In the case of discharge fill to a stream, I have no evidence I do not know where Dr. Moritz got his fill from, so I don't know whether or not it's toxic fill or not. I have no way to know that.
 - Q No evidence as you sit here today, correct?
 - A I have no idea of there being a toxicity threat from his discharge, other than the potential of sediment to be discharged into the neighboring streams and to detrimentally affect organisms living in that stream by smothering them.
 - Q How about the next bullet point, past history of discharge violations? As far as RWQCB is concerned, have there been any RWQCB violations by Dr. Moritz an any point before the June, or was it July, cleanup and abatement order and 2008?
 - A I'm unaware of any.
- 21 Q How about other discharge violations?
- 22 A Not that I know of.
- 23 Q How about the next item, the degree of 24 cooperation or recalcitrance shown by the discharger? 25 What are your thoughts on that? Has Dr. Moritz been

107

double negative.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

5

6

17

- A Oh. Excuse me. That's correct.
- Q That was a bad question.

How about the next bullet point? Does the regional board have any evidence as to the financial resources of Bill Moritz or Lori Moritz?

- A Yes.
- Q What evidence does it have?
- A What you have provided. And in regards to costs of creating the plan, though I've never seen an invoice that proves that, I have -- and you've also stated what you expect, or a bid you've had for what it would cost to do the actual restoration work.
- Q So at this point it has no actual dollar costs for what it would cost to do the work, correct? MR. LEON: Asked and answered.

THE WITNESS: I believe you stated in a letter or correspondence to me that it would cost \$60,000.

- BY MR. SIMPSON:
- Q Do you have any information about the financial ability of the Moritzes?
 - A I do not.
 - Q Does anybody within the RWQCB?
 - A I don't know.

106

cooperative or uncooperative?

- A In my opinion, Dr. Moritz was cooperative up to a point, and then he ceased being cooperative.
 - Q At what point did he cease cooperation?
- A At the point where he actually -- after the plan -- he complied with the original cleanup and abatement order to the point of creating a restoration plan to restore the creek, he provided us a time line with which he said he could comply with the order and restore the creek, and at the point where he needed to start the work, he backed away from his stated willingness to comply with the order. And he also did not follow through with getting grading permits from the city or obtaining a stream bed alteration agreement. Though he did submit an application, he's never
- agreement.

 Q Do you know why he cooperated to a point and then ceased?

concluded the process of getting a stream bed alteration

- A I only know what you provided me in your letter to me as for his reasons.
- Q You haven't contacted him to ask him why he's not proceeding with the plan, right?
 - A No.
 - Q And by that you mean that's correct? I had a

108

MR. LEON: That was an incomplete question.

2 Are we assuming that the question was does anybody

- 3 within the water board have information about
- 4 Dr. Moritz's economic ability? Financial resources?
 - BY MR. SIMPSON:
 - Q Financial resources. That's a better
- 7 question. Are you aware of whether anybody in the RWQCB
- 8 has information about the financial resources of Bill or
- 9 Lori Moritz and their ability to do the work that was 10 contemplated in the stream restoration plan?
- 11 A The only financial information -- could you
- repeat the question one more time, please?
 Q Are you aware of whether anybody in the F
- 13 Q Are you aware of whether anybody in the RWQCB
 14 has any information about Bill or Lori Moritz's
 15 financial resources and ability to implement the stream
- financial resources and ability to implement the restoration work?
 - A Other than myself, no.
- 18 Q There's another item here, a couple bullet
- 19 points down -- well, I guess the next bullet point on
- 20 Exhibit 73 is whether the circumstances leading to the
- 21 noncompliance have been corrected. As of today, has
- 22 there been a partial correction of some of the
- 23 circumstances leading to the cleanup and abatement
- 24 order?
- 25 A No.

	109		111
1	Q Let me show you if I can Exhibit 74. Maybe	1	Q Well, you made a determination of what was
2	that's not the best picture. Do you recognize the	2	going on on the Moritz's property without having been
3	Moritz property within Exhibit 74?	3	there, right?
4	A Yes.	4	A No.
5	(Exhibit 74 marked)	5	MR. LEON: Argumentative.
6	BY MR. SIMPSON:	6	BY MR. SIMPSON:
7	Q Do you see an arrangement of straw rolls that	7	Q Can you tell based on looking at this
8	were called out in the stream restoration plan?	8	photograph whether there's grading that's occurring?
9	A I see straw wattles. I can't tell you if	9	A I can see it looks like someone's driven a
10	they are deployed in the way that the stream restoration	10	tractor around there, yes.
11	plan envisioned.	11	Q In fact, you can see the tractor on there,
12	Q Do you have that figure in front of you, the	12	right?
13	stream restoration plan we went over earlier?	13	A I don't know if it's grading or tilling the
14	MR. LEON: Exhibit 61.	14	soil or what the activity is. I don't know when this
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.	15	picture was taken. I could guess.
16	BY MR. SIMPSON:	16	Q This was actually, for reference,
17	Q Can you look at one and then the other and	17	December 30, 2008.
18	compare and get an idea whether the straw rolls shown in	18	Can you tell, based on what you can see, that
19	Exhibit 74 are deployed in the way called for in	19	that looks like strike that.
20	Exhibit 61?	20	You didn't go out there in December, did you?
21	A Similar, but not exactly.	21	A No.
22	Q Do you know why they might have departures in	22	Q Are you aware of whether there's an ephemeral
23	some locations?	23	stream that intersects the or whether there are two
24 25	MR. LEON: Calls for speculation. THE WITNESS: No.	25	connecting ephemeral streams on the neighbor's property
23	THE STATE OF THE S	23	upgradient of the Moritz property?
	110		112
1	BY MR. SIMPSON:	1	A Historically, yes.
2	Q Incidentally, on Exhibit 74, where is the	2	Q Where did it historically go? The area where
3	dividing line on the property, if you know?	3	the hay bales are shown on Exhibit 74?
4	A I would assume that in the middle of the	4 5	A In that direction generally, yes.
5	diagram where the Visqueen channel starts is	6	Q Do you see those are hay bales and not straw
6	approximately where the property line is. Q And then off to the right there is a barn,	7	rolls, right? A No, I did not.
8	Q And then off to the right there is a barn, and behind the barn is a cut slope with some more	8	Q Can't tell?
9	Visqueen plastic. Do you see that?	9	A Can't tell.
10	A Yes.	10	Q Are hay bales an approved BMP?
11	Q Is it your belief that the property line	11	A Approved by who?
12	basically extends between those two end lines of	12	Q Caltrans.
13	Visqueen plastic?	13	A It's kind of I don't know if Caltrans's
14	A Roughly, yes.	14	BMP manual still includes hay bales.
15	Q The property to the north appears to be	15	Q Have you ever seen the condition of flowing
16	undergoing grading activities. Do you see that?	16	water on the neighboring property during a rain event?
17	A Yes.	17	A No.
18	Q Is that something for which there is a	18	Q The pipe that was the or is the subject of
19	permit?	19	your tentative cleanup and abatement order, are you
20	A I don't know.	20	aware of whether that's been partly removed?
21	Q Based on what you see here, are you critical	21	A Yes.
22	of the work that as an enforcement officer, that's	22	Q Do you know where it has been partly removed?
23	being performed on the neighboring property?	23	A No.
24	A I haven't been on the neighboring property,	24	Q Do you know what footage of its total length
25	so I can't really make a determination.	25	was removed?

	113		115
1	A No.	1	BY MR. SIMPSON:
2	Q Are you aware of whether it has been disabled	2	Q Is that something that looked different when
	so that it does not capture water and transmit water	3	you first saw it in August of 2008?
	through it?	4	A Yes.
5	A Yes.	5	Q Is this an improvement as far as the BMPs are
6	Q It has?	6	concerned?
7	A I believe so.	7	A Yes.
8	Q If the pipe is disabled, do you believe that	8	Q And this is before the city of Poway came
9	there's still a need to remove it?	9	onto the site, right?
10	A That depends on the exact location of the	10	A I don't know.
11	pipe. If the pipe is in an area that was once waters of	11	Q Do you know the date that the city of Poway
12	the state, yes, I believe the pipe should be removed.	12	performed its abatement work?
13	Q And you're referring to the historic stream	13	A I don't remember the exact date.
14	bed?	14	Q December?
15	A Yes. I'm referring to the to the best of	15	A Yes.
16	my knowledge, the topography that existed in 2005.	16	Q Another thing that was mentioned while at the
17	Q I'm going to show you Exhibit 75, which is	17	site in August was concerns about vectors within the
18	basically an overhead shot of the property, also taken	18	drain that was depicted in the earlier exhibit. And the
19	on December 30 of 2008. Do you see the straw rolls	19	suggestion was that the bottom of the drain be filled
20	there?	20	with concrete so that there would not be standing water.
21	A On Dr. Moritz's property?	21	Do you recall that conversation?
22	Q Yes.	22	A Yes.
23	A Yes.	23	Q Exhibit 77 shows concrete having been poured
24	(Exhibit 75 marked)	24	in order to resolve that problem, right?
25	<i>III</i>	25	MR. LEON: Can we go off the record for a
	114		116
1	BY MR. SIMPSON:	1	moment, please?
2	Q Do you believe that the straw rolls are	2	MR. SIMPSON: Sure.
3	essentially in the location of the historic stream bed?	3	(Discussion off the record)
4	A I believe no.	4	MR. SIMPSON: 77 is the poured bottom in the
5	Q Where do you believe the historic stream bed	5	drain, poured concrete bottom, for vector control.
6	was in relation to any landmarks, such as the plastic	6	(Exhibit 77 marked)
7	creek or the straw rolls?	7	BY MR. SIMPSON:
8	A I would venture to guess that the Visqueen	8	Q Exhibit 78 shows a couple of straw rolls near
9	channel most closely represents where the original	9	the barn. Do you see that?
10	stream bed was.	10	A Yes.
11	Q Do you know one way or the other?	11	(Exhibit 78 marked)
12	A I would need to superimpose other historical	12	BY MR. SIMPSON:
13	photographs on that to determine that.	13	Q And those weren't there in August, were they?
14	Q One of the things that you are requiring in	14	A No.
15	the cleanup and abatement order is the protection of a	15	Q In August you counted three straw rolls?
16	drain; is that right?	16	A Correct.
17	A That's not exactly right, no. It's not	17	Q Going back to the earlier exhibit, 75, what
110	explicitly called out in the cleanup and abatement order.	18 19	is the number of straw rolls that you can see in that photograph?
18		20	MR. LEON: Could you hold up 75 so we can
19			1903 1 C 109 1 4 1101 VIRT 1800 100 173 NO WH 1240
19 20	Q Since I showed you the picture, we might as	•	
19 20 21	Q Since I showed you the picture, we might as well look at it. Are you aware if – are you familiar	21	figure out which one it is?
19 20 21 22	Q Since I showed you the picture, we might as well look at it. Are you aware if – are you familiar with the drain that's shown in Exhibit 76?	21 22	figure out which one it is? THE WITNESS: (Indicating.)
19 20 21 22 23	Q Since I showed you the picture, we might as well look at it. Are you aware if – are you familiar with the drain that's shown in Exhibit 76? A Yes.	21 22 23	figure out which one it is? THE WITNESS: (Indicating.) MR. LEON: Thanks.
19 20 21 22	Q Since I showed you the picture, we might as well look at it. Are you aware if – are you familiar with the drain that's shown in Exhibit 76?	21 22	figure out which one it is? THE WITNESS: (Indicating.)

discern between gravel bags and straw rolls as opposed
 to the channel and outside of the channel. If I count
 the number of fiber rolls I see outside of the channel,

4 I count 10.5 BY MR. SIMPSON:

Q And how many were called for in the stream restoration plan, Exhibit 61?

A 10, 11 -- 12.

Q Do you know whether straw rolls were removed as part of the abatement efforts by the city of Poway?

A I do not.

Q Do you recognize Sean Marsden's property in Exhibit 79?

A That seems to look like his property. (Exhibit 79 marked)

BY MR. SIMPSON:

Q Did you see any sediment that you believed had come from the Moritz property on his property as of -- well, at any time?

A No.

Q I'm going to hand you Exhibit 81 and Exhibit 82, which is actually a portion of Exhibit 81, but blown up. Can you tell from looking at those two photographs what's going on there?

A No.

Q Does the RWQCB have any enforcement authority for preventing this kind of thing?

MR. LEON: Lacks foundation with respect to whether that property owner obtained applicable permits from the city or the regional board or anybody else.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I'm not familiar with the property and I'm not familiar if he's received any permits or the — from these aerials, I can't tell if any damage has been done to waters of the state. I'm not familiar with the property, so I can't say.

BY MR. SIMPSON:

Q Do you see the ephemeral stream that Dr. Moritz is being criticized for having changed in Exhibits 81 and 82?

A I see where I imagine it could be an ephemeral stream running through the project.

Q Do you know where the ephemeral stream runs on that neighboring property to the north?

A I would say it generally bisects the property in half and running in a north to south --

Q Toward the hay bales?

A Probably right in the path of the hay bales.

Q Are you aware of whether it actually cuts over toward the horse corrals shown in Exhibits 81 and 82?

(Exhibits 81 and 82 marked)

BY MR. SIMPSON:

Q Can you tell from looking at the tractor and the soil in front of and behind the tractor whether that tractor, as of the time of this photograph on December 30, 2008, was grading or tilling?

A I can't tell if it's moving or if it's just parked there.

Q Can you tell from the soils around it whether the ground looks as though it was freshly disturbed?

A It looks like it's been tilled.

Q Do you see any vegetation growing on the areas that were tilled?

A Yes.

Q Where do you see vegetation growing on the tilled areas?

A The trees. The column of trees and the other tree.

Q Not on the actual furrows of the tilled areas, though.

A Yes.

Q From the standpoint of the RWQCB, does the RWQCB care one way or another about this type of activity?

A What do you mean, care?

A I know that from looking at historical aerial photographs, there is a stream that runs about where the sand bales are, and there was another one that comes in from the south side and is now culverted under Crocker Road. Where they joined up, I can't exactly say. But two streams joined up into one on Dr. Moritz's property.

Q Let me show you Exhibit 83. Do you recognize that as the culvert that goes beneath Crocker Road, to the neighboring property to the north?

A I haven't walked up there and looked at it, so I can't say.

(Exhibit 83 marked)

13 BY MR, SIMPSON:

Q Never been up there?

A No. I've never been on the adjoining property, except when you and I looked at the inlet pipe portion.

Q You've been on Crocker Road, right?

A Yes.

Q Likewise, with Exhibit 84 -- whoops. Let me trade you. That's a view the other direction of that same culvert and ephemeral stream. Can you tell one way or the other whether that's the ephemeral stream that leads to the Moritz property?

A I can't tell from these photos.

121 123 1 (Exhibit 84 marked) 1 recall that? 2 BY MR. SIMPSON: 2 Α Yes. 3 3 Q Now, assuming that it is the ephemeral stream Does Exhibit 86 show the straw rolls having 4 that leads to the Moritz property, are you aware of 4 been staked through the center, which was a concern of 5 having or intending to have Dr. Moritz restore his 5 yours as far as them previously -- that's a bad 6 stream to something that looks like what's shown in 6 question. Your concern was that the straw rolls were 7 Exhibit 84? 7 staked on the sides rather than through the center, 8 A I can't say. 8 right? Why not? 9 Q 9 A No. 10 (Exhibit 86 marked) Α Because this is not the contours that I 10 11 have -- I don't know about the adjacent property. I BY MR. SIMPSON: 11 12 know what the city of Poway has provided topography maps 12 Q What concern did you have about the straw 13 as to what Dr. Moritz's stream looks like. I'm 13 rolls? 14 14 concerned with this order, with Dr. Moritz's stream When I inspected the site in August and 15 segment. I'm not familiar with the other site, so I 15 inspected the straw rolls, my concern was that the 16 can't say. 16 stakes were not through the straw roll but to the side 17 From the standpoint of storm water 17 of the straw roll, which, if there was a significant 18 management, are you critical of what you see in 18 rain event, could cause the sediment control BMP to 19 Exhibits 84 and 82? 19 fail. 20 A There's a lot of disturbed soil there, and so 20 MS. FOSTER: Can we get clarification about 21 21 there is a potential for sediment potentially to -- if what exhibit we're looking at? 22 that in fact is an ephemeral stream I'm looking at in 22 (Discussion off the record) 84, yeah, there's -- I would love to see it vegetated. 23 23 BY MR. SIMPSON: 24 Q So that it holds the settlement, keeps it 24 Q And your answer was that your concern was the 25 25 staking of the straw rolls on the side, and you wanted from getting transported? 122 124 to have them staked through the center? 1 Certainly. 1 Α 2 2 I'm going to show you Exhibit 85, which shows A There was - when you say staked through the 3 3 another view of the culvert beneath Crocker Road. Are side, I think that's not descriptive. The stake was not 4 4 in the straw roll at all. There was no way for it to you critical of what you see there as far as the 5 5 hold it down like it's portrayed here in Exhibit 86. condition of the culvert? 6 A I can't say. 6 Q I'm going to show you Exhibits 87 and 88. 7 (Exhibit 85 marked) 7 From a storm water standpoint, what do you see depicted 8 BY MR. SIMPSON: 8 in these two exhibits, 87 and 88? 9 It's okay, then, in your mind to have -- from 9 A I see water running down a road. 10 a storm water standpoint, to have a culvert that's more 10 (Exhibits 87 and 88 marked) 11 than half full of debris? 11 BY MR. SIMPSON: 12 12 Α Depends on how it functions during a rain Q I'll represent to you both of these photos 13 event. 13 are taken of Crocker Road in the vicinity of the culvert 14 You believe that the city of Poway has 14 beneath Crocker Road. Do you see the storm water 15 15 overtopping the road in Exhibit 87? obligated itself in the city of Poway's JURMP to clear 16 16 drains, including the drain that you see depicted in A I can't really tell what I'm seeing in 87. 17 How about 88? Do you see sediment transport, 17 Exhibit 85, so that it transmits water freely? 18 18 Could you repeat the question, please? evidence of sediment transport in Exhibit 88? 19 19 Are you aware of whether the city of Poway 20 obligated itself in its JURMP to clear drains, including 20 Q Do you know whether that water flows 21 21 the drain shows in Exhibit 85? ultimately through the neighboring property to the north 22 I'm not aware. 22 of the Moritz property and then onto the Moritz 23 When you were out at the property in August 23 property? 24 24

Α

25

I don't know.

All right. I'm going to show you Exhibit 89.

of 2008, one of the concerns you raised was the staking

of straw rolls and the trenching of straw rolls. Do you

25

MR. LEON: The question is vague and

125 127 1 Do you see the ephemeral stream in Exhibit 89 on the 1 ambiguous. 2 neighboring property in this photograph? 2 BY MR. SIMPSON: 3 3 A I see water flowing. I can't tell you -Do you believe that the discharge can be 4 it's too blurry to tell whether that's a stream. I'm 4 cleaned up? 5 5 A Yes. 6 6 Is that if the stream restoration plan, for (Exhibit 89 marked) Q 7 7 example, is implemented? BY MR. SIMPSON: 8 Q Can't tell whether that's water there? 8 Α 9 9 I see water. Q How about the need for taking immediate 10 Q Can you tell -10 action? Do you believe that the site right now has been stabilized sufficient to protect water quality and 11 Α But in the overall context of the picture, I 11 12 12 downstream property from immediate threats from winter can't tell you if the water flowing in that thing is 13 flowing through an ephemeral stream. 13 storms? 14 14 Q Can you tell whether sediment is being Α I don't know. 15 15 What would you need to know in order to transported onto the Moritz property based on that Q 16 photograph? 16 17 Α Yes. 17 A I would need to know the intensity of 18 18 potential storms that may occur in the future. Q Now, Dr. Moritz contemplated using a pipe to 19 contain the water, such as the water shown in 19 Q Have you talked to Danis Bechter about the 20 20 measuring that he implemented for the city of Poway? Exhibit 89, right? 21 21 Yes. Α Yes. Α 22 Q Do you believe that had he pursued the 22 Q What has he said about their capability of 23 23 handling storm events? appropriate permits, he could have had success in 24 getting approval for that pipe? 24 Up to this point, they seem to be working. 25 25 A I don't know. How are the storm events that we've had since 126 128 Q What steps do you believe he would have they've been implemented as compared to your larger 1 1 2 needed to go through in order to get to that point where 2 storm events? 3 A I haven't seen the rainfall data, so I can't 3 he would have had approval? 4 A He would have needed to go through either 4 make a judgment on that. 5 5 Q Do you believe that the -- or do you have any obtaining a 401 water quality certification, performing 6 CEQA, getting grading permits, stream bed alteration 6 information one way or another about whether these, for agreements, and potentially a 404 permit from the Army 7 7 example, would meet the 100-year, 24-hour storm event 8 Corps of Engineers. 8 criteria? 9 Q Do you believe that CEQA was required in this 9 A I don't know. 10 circumstance? 10 Q Do you believe that Dr. Moritz or Lori Moritz 11 Α Yes. 11 obtained any economic benefit because of the 12 12 noncompliance that you believe has occurred? Q Whv? 13 Because I believe the project as Dr. Moritz 13 A Yes. 14 completed it constitutes a project under CEQA as defined 14 Q What economic benefit do you believe that 15 15 they've realized? by CEQA. 16 16 Q Do you believe that he would not have A I believe they realized benefit by not 17 17 qualified for any exemptions? obtaining the appropriate permits, by not going through 18 18 the CEQA process, by not hiring a civil engineer to A I do not. Let me state I do not believe he 19 would have qualified for a categorical exemption under 19 properly think the project through and implement it 20 20 CEQA. appropriately. 21 21 Q Getting back to exhibit - I believe it's 73, Q Before this case have you ever had any 22 do you believe the bullet point whether the discharge 22 working relationship with Danis Bechter? 23 can be cleaned up has been addressed by the regional 23 Α 24 24 board, fourth to the bottom? Q How about with David Rizzuto of the city of

25

Poway?

	129		131
1	A No.	1	DECLARATION UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY
2	Q How about Donald Sharp of the city of Poway?	2	SESS IN CONSTRUCTOR STREET, ST. 1 E. COSTA
3	A No.	3	I, CHRISTOPHER MEANS, do hereby certify under
4	Q How about Sam Tadros of the city of Poway,	4	penalty of perjury that I have read the foregoing
5	now at the county?	5	transcript of my deposition taken January 16, 2009; that
6	A No.	6	I have made such corrections as appear noted herein, in
7	Q How about Jim Lyon?	7	ink, initialed by me; that my testimony as contained
8	A No.	8	herein, as corrected, is true and correct.
9	Q How about socially? Did you ever have any	9	DATED this day of,
10	involvement with any of those guys socially?	10	20, at, California.
11	A No.	11	<u> </u>
12	Could I request a quick break.	12	
13	MR. SIMPSON: Sure.	13	
14	(Off the record)	14	CHRISTOPHER MEANS
15	MR. SIMPSON: I propose that we have	15	
16	Mr. Means sign the transcript under the penalty of	16	
17	perjury, waiving the requirement of any notary; that he	17	
18	do so within 30 days of presentation of the transcript	18	
19	to him; that the original be sent directly to Mr. Means	19	
20	here at 9174 Sky Park Court	20	
21	THE WITNESS: Suite 100.	21	
22	MR. SIMPSON: Suite 100, San Diego.	22	
23	92121?	23	
24	THE WITNESS: 92123.	24	
25	MR. SIMPSON: And then you'll be given a	25	
	130		132
1		1	132 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
1 2	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line	1 2	
1	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line number where you have a change to an answer, and	l	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION
2	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line	2	
2 3	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line number where you have a change to an answer, and indicate what the change is. And then I'd like to have a self-addressed	2 3	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, Tamara L. Espino, Certified Shorthand
2 3 4	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line number where you have a change to an answer, and indicate what the change is.	2 3 4	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, Tamara L. Espino, Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of California, do hereby
2 3 4 5	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line number where you have a change to an answer, and indicate what the change is. And then I'd like to have a self-addressed stamp envelope in there to me. I'll maintain custody of	2 3 4 5	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, Tamara L. Espino, Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of California, do hereby
2 3 4 5 6	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line number where you have a change to an answer, and indicate what the change is. And then I'd like to have a self-addressed stamp envelope in there to me. I'll maintain custody of the original, make it available to counsel on request.	2 3 4 5 6	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, Tamara L. Espino, Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of California, do hereby certify:
2 3 4 5 6 7	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line number where you have a change to an answer, and indicate what the change is. And then I'd like to have a self-addressed stamp envelope in there to me. I'll maintain custody of the original, make it available to counsel on request. And we talked off the record, Counsel Jorge Leon and I,	2 3 4 5 6 7	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, Tamara L. Espino, Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of California, do hereby certify: That the wilness named in the foregoing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line number where you have a change to an answer, and indicate what the change is. And then I'd like to have a self-addressed stamp envelope in there to me. I'll maintain custody of the original, make it available to counsel on request. And we talked off the record, Counsel Jorge Leon and I, about having a rough transcript prepared as soon as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, Tamara L. Espino, Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of California, do hereby certify: That the witness named in the foregoing deposition was, before the commencement of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line number where you have a change to an answer, and indicate what the change is. And then I'd like to have a self-addressed stamp envelope in there to me. I'll maintain custody of the original, make it available to counsel on request. And we talked off the record, Counsel Jorge Leon and I, about having a rough transcript prepared as soon as practicable without killing off the court reporter.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, Tamara L. Espino, Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of California, do hereby certify: That the witness named in the foregoing deposition was, before the commencement of the deposition, duly administered an oath in accordance with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line number where you have a change to an answer, and indicate what the change is. And then I'd like to have a self-addressed stamp envelope in there to me. I'll maintain custody of the original, make it available to counsel on request. And we talked off the record, Counsel Jorge Leon and I, about having a rough transcript prepared as soon as practicable without killing off the court reporter. That will become part of the record, with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, Tamara L. Espino, Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of California, do hereby certify: That the wilness named in the foregoing deposition was, before the commencement of the deposition, duly administered an oath in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure Section 2094; that the testimony
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line number where you have a change to an answer, and indicate what the change is. And then I'd like to have a self-addressed stamp envelope in there to me. I'll maintain custody of the original, make it available to counsel on request. And we talked off the record, Counsel Jorge Leon and I, about having a rough transcript prepared as soon as practicable without killing off the court reporter. That will become part of the record, with the understanding that it will be supplemented with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, Tamara L. Espino, Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of California, do hereby certify: That the wilness named in the foregoing deposition was, before the commencement of the deposition, duly administered an oath in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure Section 2094; that the testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically by me and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line number where you have a change to an answer, and indicate what the change is. And then I'd like to have a self-addressed stamp envelope in there to me. I'll maintain custody of the original, make it available to counsel on request. And we talked off the record, Counsel Jorge Leon and I, about having a rough transcript prepared as soon as practicable without killing off the court reporter. That will become part of the record, with the understanding that it will be supplemented with the certified copy when we receive a certified copy. Okay?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, Tamara L. Espino, Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of California, do hereby certify: That the wilness named in the foregoing deposition was, before the commencement of the deposition, duly administered an oath in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure Section 2094; that the testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically by me and later transcribed into computer-aided transcription
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line number where you have a change to an answer, and indicate what the change is. And then I'd like to have a self-addressed stamp envelope in there to me. I'll maintain custody of the original, make it available to counsel on request. And we talked off the record, Counsel Jorge Leon and I, about having a rough transcript prepared as soon as practicable without killing off the court reporter. That will become part of the record, with the understanding that it will be supplemented with the certified copy when we receive a certified copy. Okay? MR. LEON: Okay. What that does is allows	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, Tamara L. Espino, Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of California, do hereby certify: That the witness named in the foregoing deposition was, before the commencement of the deposition, duly administered an oath in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure Section 2094; that the testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically by me and later transcribed into computer-aided transcription under my direction; that the foregoing is a true record
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line number where you have a change to an answer, and indicate what the change is. And then I'd like to have a self-addressed stamp envelope in there to me. I'll maintain custody of the original, make it available to counsel on request. And we talked off the record, Counsel Jorge Leon and I, about having a rough transcript prepared as soon as practicable without killing off the court reporter. That will become part of the record, with the understanding that it will be supplemented with the certified copy when we receive a certified copy. Okay? MR. LEON: Okay. What that does is allows Doug to go ahead and submit his response to our submittal, which is based on the deadline issued by the notice of hearing using the draft of the transcript.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, Tamara L. Espino, Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of California, do hereby certify: That the witness named in the foregoing deposition was, before the commencement of the deposition, duly administered an oath in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure Section 2094; that the testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically by me and later transcribed into computer-aided transcription under my direction; that the foregoing is a true record
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line number where you have a change to an answer, and indicate what the change is. And then I'd like to have a self-addressed stamp envelope in there to me. I'll maintain custody of the original, make it available to counsel on request. And we talked off the record, Counsel Jorge Leon and I, about having a rough transcript prepared as soon as practicable without killing off the court reporter. That will become part of the record, with the understanding that it will be supplemented with the certified copy when we receive a certified copy. Okay? MR. LEON: Okay. What that does is allows Doug to go ahead and submit his response to our submittal, which is based on the deadline issued by the notice of hearing using the draft of the transcript. That information will be subject to being updated and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, Tamara L. Espino, Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of California, do hereby certify: That the witness named in the foregoing deposition was, before the commencement of the deposition, duly administered an oath in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure Section 2094; that the testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically by me and later transcribed into computer-aided transcription under my direction; that the foregoing is a true record of the testimony and proceedings taken at that time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line number where you have a change to an answer, and indicate what the change is. And then I'd like to have a self-addressed stamp envelope in there to me. I'll maintain custody of the original, make it available to counsel on request. And we talked off the record, Counsel Jorge Leon and I, about having a rough transcript prepared as soon as practicable without killing off the court reporter. That will become part of the record, with the understanding that it will be supplemented with the certified copy when we receive a certified copy. Okay? MR. LEON: Okay. What that does is allows Doug to go ahead and submit his response to our submittal, which is based on the deadline issued by the notice of hearing using the draft of the transcript. That information will be subject to being updated and corrected, if necessary, based on the final version of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, Tamara L. Espino, Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of California, do hereby certify: That the witness named in the foregoing deposition was, before the commencement of the deposition, duly administered an oath in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure Section 2094; that the testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically by me and later transcribed into computer-aided transcription under my direction; that the foregoing is a true record of the testimony and proceedings taken at that time. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line number where you have a change to an answer, and indicate what the change is. And then I'd like to have a self-addressed stamp envelope in there to me. I'll maintain custody of the original, make it available to counsel on request. And we talked off the record, Counsel Jorge Leon and I, about having a rough transcript prepared as soon as practicable without killing off the court reporter. That will become part of the record, with the understanding that it will be supplemented with the certified copy when we receive a certified copy. Okay? MR. LEON: Okay. What that does is allows Doug to go ahead and submit his response to our submittal, which is based on the deadline issued by the notice of hearing using the draft of the transcript. That information will be subject to being updated and corrected, if necessary, based on the final version of the transcript that will be put out after his deadline.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, Tamara L. Espino, Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of California, do hereby certify: That the witness named in the foregoing deposition was, before the commencement of the deposition, duly administered an oath in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure Section 2094; that the testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically by me and later transcribed into computer-aided transcription under my direction; that the foregoing is a true record of the testimony and proceedings taken at that time. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line number where you have a change to an answer, and indicate what the change is. And then I'd like to have a self-addressed stamp envelope in there to me. I'll maintain custody of the original, make it available to counsel on request. And we talked off the record, Counsel Jorge Leon and I, about having a rough transcript prepared as soon as practicable without killing off the court reporter. That will become part of the record, with the understanding that it will be supplemented with the certified copy when we receive a certified copy. Okay? MR. LEON: Okay. What that does is allows Doug to go ahead and submit his response to our submittal, which is based on the deadline issued by the notice of hearing using the draft of the transcript. That information will be subject to being updated and corrected, if necessary, based on the final version of the transcript that will be put out after his deadline. THE WITNESS: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, Tamara L. Espino, Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of California, do hereby certify: That the witness named in the foregoing deposition was, before the commencement of the deposition, duly administered an oath in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure Section 2094; that the testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically by me and later transcribed into computer-aided transcription under my direction; that the foregoing is a true record of the testimony and proceedings taken at that time. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line number where you have a change to an answer, and indicate what the change is. And then I'd like to have a self-addressed stamp envelope in there to me. I'll maintain custody of the original, make it available to counsel on request. And we talked off the record, Counsel Jorge Leon and I, about having a rough transcript prepared as soon as practicable without killing off the court reporter. That will become part of the record, with the understanding that it will be supplemented with the certified copy when we receive a certified copy. Okay? MR. LEON: Okay. What that does is allows Doug to go ahead and submit his response to our submittal, which is based on the deadline issued by the notice of hearing using the draft of the transcript. That information will be subject to being updated and corrected, if necessary, based on the final version of the transcript that will be put out after his deadline. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. SIMPSON: Okay?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I, Tamara L. Espino, Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of California, do hereby certify: That the wilness named in the foregoing deposition was, before the commencement of the deposition, duly administered an oath in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure Section 2094; that the testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically by me and later transcribed into computer-aided transcription under my direction; that the foregoing is a true record of the testimony and proceedings taken at that time. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name this 21st day of January, 2009.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line number where you have a change to an answer, and indicate what the change is. And then I'd like to have a self-addressed stamp envelope in there to me. I'll maintain custody of the original, make it available to counsel on request. And we talked off the record, Counsel Jorge Leon and I, about having a rough transcript prepared as soon as practicable without killing off the court reporter. That will become part of the record, with the understanding that it will be supplemented with the certified copy when we receive a certified copy. Okay? MR. LEON: Okay. What that does is allows Doug to go ahead and submit his response to our submittal, which is based on the deadline issued by the notice of hearing using the draft of the transcript. That information will be subject to being updated and corrected, if necessary, based on the final version of the transcript that will be put out after his deadline. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. SIMPSON: Okay? MR. LEON: Sounds good.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION I, Tamara L. Espino, Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of California, do hereby certify: That the witness named in the foregoing deposition was, before the commencement of the deposition, duly administered an oath in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure Section 2094; that the testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically by me and later transcribed into computer-aided transcription under my direction; that the foregoing is a true record of the testimony and proceedings taken at that time. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line number where you have a change to an answer, and indicate what the change is. And then I'd like to have a self-addressed stamp envelope in there to me. I'll maintain custody of the original, make it available to counsel on request. And we talked off the record, Counsel Jorge Leon and I, about having a rough transcript prepared as soon as practicable without killing off the court reporter. That will become part of the record, with the understanding that it will be supplemented with the certified copy when we receive a certified copy. Okay? MR. LEON: Okay. What that does is allows Doug to go ahead and submit his response to our submittal, which is based on the deadline issued by the notice of hearing using the draft of the transcript. That information will be subject to being updated and corrected, if necessary, based on the final version of the transcript that will be put out after his deadline. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. SIMPSON: Okay? MR. LEON: Sounds good. MR. SIMPSON: All right. Thank you. You've	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I, Tamara L. Espino, Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of California, do hereby certify: That the wilness named in the foregoing deposition was, before the commencement of the deposition, duly administered an oath in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure Section 2094; that the testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically by me and later transcribed into computer-aided transcription under my direction; that the foregoing is a true record of the testimony and proceedings taken at that time. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name this 21st day of January, 2009.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	piece of paper that you can write down any page and line number where you have a change to an answer, and indicate what the change is. And then I'd like to have a self-addressed stamp envelope in there to me. I'll maintain custody of the original, make it available to counsel on request. And we talked off the record, Counsel Jorge Leon and I, about having a rough transcript prepared as soon as practicable without killing off the court reporter. That will become part of the record, with the understanding that it will be supplemented with the certified copy when we receive a certified copy. Okay? MR. LEON: Okay. What that does is allows Doug to go ahead and submit his response to our submittal, which is based on the deadline issued by the notice of hearing using the draft of the transcript. That information will be subject to being updated and corrected, if necessary, based on the final version of the transcript that will be put out after his deadline. THE WITNESS: Okay. MR. SIMPSON: Okay? MR. LEON: Sounds good.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I, Tamara L. Espino, Certified Shorthand Reporter, in and for the State of California, do hereby certify: That the wilness named in the foregoing deposition was, before the commencement of the deposition, duly administered an oath in accordance with Code of Civil Procedure Section 2094; that the testimony and proceedings were reported stenographically by me and later transcribed into computer-aided transcription under my direction; that the foregoing is a true record of the testimony and proceedings taken at that time. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name this 21st day of January, 2009.

	133
1	DEPOSITION ERRATA SHEET
2	
3	RE: Paulson Reporting & Litigation Services
4	File No. 22816
5	Case Caption: CITY OF POWAY
6	vs. BILL MORITZ, et al.
7	Deponent: CHRISTOPHER MEANS
8	Deposition Date: JANUARY 16, 2009
9	To the Reporter:
10	I have read the entire transcript of my Deposition taken
11	in the captioned matter or the same has been read to me.
12	I request that the following changes be entered upon the
13	record for the reasons indicated. I have signed my name to
14	the Errata Sheet and the appropriate Certificate and
15	authorize you to attach both to the original transcript.
16	
17	Page NoLine NoChange to:
18	
19	Reason for change:
20	Page NotIne NoChange to:
21	
22	Reason for change:
23	Page NoLine NoChange to:
24 25	December for abancos
	Reason for change:
	134
i	Deposition of CHRISTOPHER MEANS
2	Doposition of the contract of
3	Page NoLine NoChange to:
4	<u> </u>
5	Reason for change:
6	Page NoLine NoChange to:
7	
8	Reason for change:
9	Page NoLine NoChange to:
10	
11	Reason for change:
12	Page Not.ine NoChange to:
13	
14	Reason for change:
15	Page NoLine NoChange to:
16	F18-14-18-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-14-
17	Reason for change:
18	Page NoLine NoChange to:
19	
20	Reason for change:
21	
22	SIGNATURE:DATE:
23	CHRISTOPHER MEANS
24	
25	